Out of several translations of Imam al-Tahawi’s creed available in the market, Shaykh Hamza Yusuf’s is, in my opinion, by far the best rendition to date of this famous and very important treatise. We can expect no less of a scholar of the caliber of Shaykh Hamza Yusuf due to his command over his native tongue, English, as well as his acquired and well-learned Arabic.

DR. NIZAM YAQUBY, Mufti of Bahrain

Shaykh Hamza Yusuf has rendered a service not only to Muslims, but also to a wider audience by his new translation of The Creed of Imam al-Tahawi accompanied by an illuminating historical introduction and by helpful biographies and extensive notes. His translation is formal without being archaic and is written in a style that Christians can recognize from their own credal formulations. The work is of value to others than Muslims, for two reasons: it provides in 130 short paragraphs a clear presentation of core Muslim belief in a way that is not easily available by other means. It thus provides a very positive instrument for the essential work of dialogue. Secondly, it provides Christians, who have also developed and continue to use credal formulations, with the opportunity to see just where the Islamic understanding of God comes close to the Christian understanding of God. This does much to reduce the credibility of approaches which insist on a thoroughly bipolar understanding of Christianity and Islam. Muslims and Christians should welcome this publication.

DR. ROWAN WILLIAMS, Archbishop of Canterbury, UK

The Zaytuna Curriculum Series is dedicated to the publication of classical Islamic works translated into English by scholars licensed to teach the traditional sciences of Islam, thereby making these works accessible to scholars, students, and the general public.
Foreword*

All Praise belongs to God alone, and may God’s blessings and peace be upon our master Muḥammad and upon his family and companions.

Our virtuous brother in faith, the associate jurist and professor of faith Shaykh Hamza Yusuf, has translated into English The Creed of Imam al-Ṭahāwī—a beneficial endeavor, indeed, especially for non-Arabic speakers. The creed is one with which the entire community concurs.

The Creed of Imam al-Ṭahāwī contains a general call to abandon accusations of disbelief against others and to forgo any pretense of knowledge about who is or is not in Paradise or in Hell; and to entrust all abstruse and knotty matters to the Omniscient and Wise.

For these aforementioned reasons, our scholars have not only accepted it but have added to it numerous commentaries from varying perspectives and schools. I recommend, however, for the general community, that it be memorized as it is, free of any speculations about matters the true nature of which can never be comprehended or even grasped. To use a metaphor from Mālik [d. 179 AH/795 CE], our creed has reached all of us pure and lucid, and entered as a groom into his bride’s chamber, welcomed without question.

Any believer who wishes to deepen his or her knowledge in this religion should follow two courses. The first is to occupy oneself with those matters of faith that concern the heart and its states, as well as purification of the ego, enabling one to ascend to the degree of spiritual excellence. The second involves a course of study of

* The Foreword was rearranged in its English translation for the reader’s benefit. It was done with the author’s consent and remains faithful to the original text.
الحمد لله والصلاة والسلام على سيدنا محمد وآله وصحبه

وعد، فقد قام أحمد الفاضل الشافعي والدعاية للشريعة سنة حجة النبى رضوان الله عليه. وفي الجملة، وهي عبادة بسيطة نقاطية على نصوص الكتب والسنن.

وقد أحبّت أن تكون النسخة لأهالي الشام حرة تترجمها وليس ذلك عريزاً على حسن الجمال.

وترجمة الشيخ حمزة يثبطها لأن له قدماً راجماً في اللغة العربية والبلاغة، له بارداً في علم الكلام عند المقدمين.

أما في اللغة الإنجليزية فهو شكسبيري السكان.

وهو قبل هذا وذلك صاحب تحرّر وورع وصدق - إن شاء الله - يحمل على البحث والتنبيه.

لإدرار العواطف والعاطفة بين الوصف الفارغ والعسب والمعركة والواقع الطاحونة فيهما دعوة إلى عدم تكبير الناس وعدم إزال أحد في الجنة أو النار وتقليل ما يشبه من الأمور إلى العلم الحكم.

وإنما تلقينا الأمور وشرحت شروحاً عدداً من مختلف الذاكر والشراب.

وإذا أوصى بحفظ هذه العقيدة مجردة بال نسبة لمواز المسلمين دون مغالاة في أمور لا يدركها ولا يحاصره.

فإن العقيدة للإمام أحمد صائغة واضحة، ودخلت على الدنيا في خدراها وما ستعيد عنه؟

علي قد عبارة مذكر رجله تعالى

وعلى المهم إذا أراد التمتع في الدنيا أن يكون ذلك في إنجهبي أولاً: في إنجهبي الإيمانيات المتصلة

بأحوال القوى وخصوصاً النفس الطريقة إلى درجة الإحسان

أما الإنجهبي الثاني: فهو دراسة أفك القواب لتعليم كيف يعد الله تعالى ويحبف عمايلته وعوامه.

وبعد عن الجملة، فهي كلامية بينه على أسس فلسفيّة قديمة قد لا تخدم العقائد الإيمانية

الفعلية ولا الإشكالات الفكرية المذكورة على الساحة التانية.

وءاس الله تعالى لنا وليشهد حمزة يثبط من التوقيع والتدوين.

وكب عبد الله بن يزيد
Introduction

Say: God is One. God is independent.
He neither sired, nor was He sired.
And no thing compares to Him.

QUR'AN 112:1-4

Islam's creative gift to mankind is monotheism,
and we surely dare not throw this gift away.

ARNOLD TOYNBEE

THEOLOGY is a reaction, a creative response to tension in the mind of a believer who is confronted with propositions that challenge not his experiential faith but his intellectual understanding of it. Experience of faith and expression of faith are distinct yet bound in a way that is often lost in discursive theology. Language cannot express the reality of faith, but it can explain what one believes and why. This is, of course, the central purpose of theology. However, it is also a mental activity by nature and often involves paradoxes, in which seemingly insoluble problems, such as free will and predestination, are dialectically entertained in the mind of the theologian, who then attempts to reconcile them, using sacred scripture and intellect—a combination made volatile and dangerous in the absence of a devout piety that would otherwise illuminate both the effort and the outcome. For this reason, true theology is, to a certain degree, the squaring of a circle within an enlightened mind. Indeed, the true theologian, like Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazzālī (d. 505/1111), is one who experiences the content of his theology. The experience, moreover, cannot be reduced to the intellectual because it is essentially rooted in a spiritual witnessing of reality: Say, “This is my way; I invite to God
with inner vision; I and whoever follows me. And, glory be to God, I am not a polytheist” (Qur’an 12:108).

All of religion begins with experience, and when the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ presented to the world a simple, terse, and intoxicating formula of monotheism, he acted not as a theologian but as a prophet in the presence of the Divine and in complete communion with the Divine. Others around him responded. They had no interest in abstruse debates about free will and fate, quiddities and qualities, atoms and accidents; they were in the presence of the Sublime, and He was their ultimate concern. For them, this was the experience of faith, as real and palpable as the waking state of ordinary people.

These ciphers of the desert, once passive objects of surrounding civilizations, became transformative subjects of history, and their impact is still felt today. Thrusting themselves upon the world, they crossed the warm waters of the Indian Ocean, entered the gates of China, landed on the coasts of Africa, and overcame the obstacle of the Pyrenees. They were galvanized by a simple articulation, a radical assertion that would inspire and transform untold numbers of people, profoundly altering the depths of their consciousness: lâ ilâha illâ l-lâh. These four words, which mean, “There is no divinity worthy of worship save the Divine,” have spawned countless works of theology from some of humanity’s most brilliant minds.

The words themselves, however, have no dogmatic theology. They do not merely convey information but describe a person’s state of being, of witnessing that there is nothing worthy of worship except the one true God of humanity. The Arabic word for such a person, muwahhid, loosely translates as “unitarian,” except that in Arabic it is an active participle, an agent of unifying: “one who makes one, a unifier.” This “making of one” is an action that arises from a non-conceptualization: “The inability to perceive God is perception” (al-‘ajaz ‘an idrâkhi idrâkhu), as expressed by the caliph, Abû Bakr al-Śiddîq (d. 13/634). Lâ ilâha illâ l-lâh comprises a simple negation, “no god,” and a powerful affirmation, “only God.”

Introduction

The first generation of Muslims, who took directly from the Prophet ﷺ, did not engage in debates about Islam’s essential creedal formula. It was uttered in their language, and its inherent theology was grasped more intuitively than discursively. They understood the radical monotheism of the formula as both a renewal of the ancient Abrahamic monotheism and a corrective for the accruals of time that had been added to the two previous Abrahamic dispensations.

Another quintessential creedal phrase that Muslims utter throughout their daily prayers is Allâhu akbar, which means “God is greater”; it is the ontological argument implying that God is greater than anything the minds of men can conceive. God is beyond conceptualization; anything that can be conceived, anything that can be described, is not God: Glory be to God above and beyond their descriptions (Qur’an 6:100). They do not assess the capacity of God truly (Qur’an 6:91).

While we find in the Qur’an arguments for the unity of God, we find no attempt to prove the existence of God. The Qur’an reminds us that oneness of the Divine is reflected everywhere by the manifest presence of equilibrium and the absence of chaos in the cosmos. If you ask them who created the heavens and the earth, they invariably reply, “God” (29:61). The Qur’anic arguments, instead, dispel the misconceptions of God, whether embodied in polytheism, trinitarianism, animism, nihilism, or anthropomorphism. All are refuted in the Qur’an, leaving only a powerfully transcendent and unitarian vision of God’s essence. Regarding any questioning of the sustaining power and presence of God in the world, the Qur’an asks, And is there doubt about God? (14:10). Concerning God’s immanence, Ibn ‘Atî’ Allâh states, “When did He disappear that He needed to be indicated?” Historically, Muslim theologians were averse to using the Christian theological terms of transcendence and immanence, and argued that God could not be conceptually contained within those limiting concepts. Indeed, the Ash’arî scholars argued that God was neither transcendent nor immanent (ghayru mutâṣâîlîn bi khalîqî wa lâ munṣâîlîn ‘anî), which is not dissimilar to the
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Theological position of Eastern Orthodox Christianity concerning the true nature of God. Islam's discursive theology developed in the midst of already existing theological traditions and sacred cosmologies, often in response to them and sometimes borrowing from them.

Two critical events unfolded in early Muslim history that caused the development of competing theologies within the Muslim community. The first was the conversion of Jewish, Byzantine, and Persian peoples to Islam, many of whom subsequently began to study seriously their newly adopted faith. Naturally, they viewed Islam through the prism of their previous traditions. The second critical event was the contact that devout Muslims had with seasoned Christian and Jewish theologians. Out of this emerged more speculative theologies that used the tools of the rational Hellenistic tradition to refute doctrinal obscurations emerging throughout the Muslim world, as a cosmopolitan religion was being born of an insular desert mother.

These speculative responses about God and His nature did not go unchallenged. Many of the early Muslims countered these emergent theologies by asking, “What right does man have to speculate about God?” Indeed, in several places, the Qur'an itself warns of the dire consequences of saying about God what you do not know (7:28, 10:68).

For this reason, the first Muslim communities denounced the Iraqi innovation of rational theology (kalām). While the word kalām literally means “talk,” its usage here as a technical term is closer to “dialectic.” The mutakallimūn were dialecticians who set out to examine and discuss the nature of God and His attributes, and to refute innovations that challenged the Islamic creedal dispensation. The Qur'an is called the “Speech of God” (kalām Allāh), and it is to the Qur'an and Sunnah that Muslims are obliged to refer for knowledge about God.

However, the Qur'an is not a book of theology, and the Prophet was not a theologian. The Qur'an does not dogmatically explain what people should or should not think about God. Instead, it reveals itself as the Word of God. It is God speaking. And if one is listening to God, one has no need for someone who will tell him about God. God reveals Himself to the attentive listener: And if the Qur’an is recited to you, then listen to it attentively and be silent, that you may be shown mercy (7:204).

Many of the initial questions that arose were political, but they had theological implications that demanded theological responses. For instance, how is sovereignty legitimized in Islam, and what are its limits? To what degree do believers owe allegiance to the state, and when is that allegiance superseded by one's religious obligations? By the time of ‘Uthmān b. ‘Affān’s death in 35/656, serious theological problems were fomenting in the hearts and minds of many new Muslims.

At that time, a group of Muslims emerged who formulated their own theology without recourse to the knowledge of the Prophet’s companions. They later became known as the Khawārij (Seceders), because they seceded initially from the caliphate of ‘Ali (d. 40/661) and then later from Mu’āwiyyah (d. 60/680) and the Umayyade leadership. They had a simple premise: “Rule belongs to God alone” (al-hākimīyyatu l-lāh). They believed that a person who committed a mortal sin (kabīrah) “forfeited the privileges that came along with membership in the community, thereby rendering it not a sin but a duty for Muslims to kill him.”

The forerunners of this movement were responsible for murdering ‘Uthmān b. ‘Affān. With the murder of ‘Uthmān, the third caliph, the Muslims split into different camps. The two primary factions were that of Mu’āwiyyah, the governor of Syria and Palestine, and that of ‘Ali, who was residing in Medina but who soon relocated to Iraq. Mu’āwiyyah wanted to bring to justice the murderers of ‘Uthmān, while ‘Ali felt that exacting retribution at that point would lead to greater disunity within the Muslim community. Over this issue, the two factions went to war. Who was right, who was wrong, and what were the theological implications of Muslims fighting each other—these became hotly disputed issues among scholars of the day, and the repercussions of those debates still reverberate among Muslims today.
trolled a large area of land and, because of this, judged less stringently those who did not agree with them, simply considering such people hypocrites. They also permitted concealment (taqīyah), which allowed them to hide their views from other Muslims.

In the city of Basra, a small group of Khawārij, who did not accept the radical views of either the Azraqis or the Najdis, founded kalām as a new science. In the midst of all the theological debates and discussions, the prophetic tradition of Islam as understood by the Prophet and his followers continued to be taught. Al-Hasan al-Baṣrī (d. 110/728), a companion of ‘Alī, held a position concerning free will and predetermination that is only understood within the conceptual space of antinomies, i.e., propositions which, in formal logic, are mutually exclusive without being irrational. He stated that while man is free, his fate is also determined. This attempt at reconciliation resulted in the adoption of the doctrine of acquisition (kābū) that Imam al-Ṭahāwī (d. 321/933), Abū al-Hasan al-Asʿhāri (d. 324/936), and Abū Mašūr al-Māturīdī (d. 333/944) later codified in their creeds. The problem of free will and determinism led to the development of a highly sophisticated cosmology that included a novel atomic theory that explained the nature of time, change, spirit, causality, and matter.

From the circle of al-Hasan al-Baṣrī, which came to epitomize “traditional” Islam, another group known as the Muʿtazilah (Rationalists) emerged. As sources relate, al-Hasan al-Baṣrī was teaching a group of students when asked whether a grave sinner should be considered Muslim. He hesitated, and one among the circle, Wāsīl b. ‘Atā (d. 131/748), interrupted with the assertion that such a sinner was neither a Muslim nor an infidel but was in an “intermediate position” (manzila tun bayna l-manzilātayn). Wāsīl then left and established his own circle at another pillar in the mosque. At this, al-Hasan al-Baṣrī remarked, “He has withdrawn (iʿtaza) from us”; thus, the name “Muʿtazilah” (lit. withdrawers) came into being.

The Muʿtazilah synthesized a complex theology that, while grounded in the Qur’an, was heavily influenced by Hellenistic rationalism. At its simplest level, their creed involved five “funda-
mentals.” The first was “unity,” by which the Mu'tazilah meant more than simply the tawhid that Sunni Muslims understood: One God as opposed to many. The Mu'tazilah insisted that God's attributes had no existence distinguishable from His essence, but rather they emanated from the essence of God: God willed from His essence, and He knew from His essence. Their negation of God's attributes arose from their concern regarding the Sunni position.44 The Sunnis, in turn, responded to the Mu'tazilah, arguing that the attributes were in addition to the essence in such a way as to be neither the essence nor other than the essence; this was a supranormal attempt at avoiding the polytheism of which the Mu'tazilah accused them. For the Mu'tazilah, this affirmation of “hypostatic” attributes approximated the Orthodox Christian argument of a triune God that was closer to polytheism than monotheism. It is arguable that the debate is not simply semantic, but, in the eyes of the more conservative Sunni scholars, it accomplished little more than an immense exchange of talk (kalam) about God that the pristine understanding of the early community would never have accommodated.

The second fundamental of the Mu'tazilah was justice ('adl). This is related to their understanding of free will. They fixed upon heaven the mandates of earth, arguing that earthly justice must, by necessity, be true of heaven. They felt it would be unjust of God to determine the lives of men and then punish them for their predetermined actions. They believed that a person's final destiny was a result of his own actions; he was justly punished if immoral or justly rewarded if upright.45 And because justice necessitated that God does what is best for man, they believed that sending messengers was God's obligation to man.

The third fundamental was the “promise” and the “threat” (al-wa'd wa al-wa'id). This asserted the absolute obligation of God to reward the upright and punish the immoral.

The fourth fundamental asserted the “intermediate position” of grave sinners. This position demanded that one neither affirm nor negate the belief of sinners, nor make assertions about matters best relegated to God. For instance, the question of 'Ali's actions being right or wrong was not for men to decide; that judgment was to be left to God.

The fifth and final fundamental was “commanding righteousness and forbidding evil.” This is a strong Qur'anic injunction and a foundational principle of Islam: And let there be a people among you who invite to good and enjoin what is fair, and forbid what is repugnant; it is they who thrive (3:104). Creating dynamic tension, the Mu'tazilī movement acted as an intellectual catalyst for a theology that defended the dominant positions of Islam.

The Mu'tazilah gained ascendancy during the reign of the Abbasid caliph, al-Ma'mūn (d. 218/833), who was an ardent patron of anything intellectual, and who founded Dār al-Ḥikmah, an academy in Baghdad equipped with an observatory and collections of Greek philosophical, mathematical, and medical writings. In 212/827, al-Ma'mūn endorsed the Mu'tazilī doctrine of the createdness of the Qur'an as official dogma and initiated an unfortunate state-sponsored inquisition. Fearing persecution, many scholars either prevaricated or remained silent, but Ahmad b. Ḥanbal (d. 241/855), the great jurist and hadith scholar, publicly declared that the Qur'an is the uncreated Word of God. He was arrested and tortured, but his brave efforts inspired others to speak out. With the death of al-Ma'mūn, the tribulation soon ended, and the Sunni position of the uncreatedness of the Qur'an was eventually adopted by the state.46

While several competing theologies were emerging, the rationalistic foundation of the Mu'tazilah theology posed the greatest challenge to traditional scholars. The early response of these scholars was less a refutation and more an elucidation of what Muslims should believe. It is best represented in the writings of Abū Ḥanīfah (d. 150/767), who, in his explication, nonetheless, deals more with the views of the Khawārij, Shia, and Qadariyah than with those of the Mu'tazilah. This eponymous imam of the largest legal school in Islam left behind more works on theology than any of his contemporaries, works that provided a basis for The Creed of Imam al-Tahawi. The creedal views of Abū Ḥanīfah and his follower,
Imam al-Tahawi, avoid the speculations of the Mu'tazilah, adhering as closely as possible to the texts and explicating only when they feel it absolutely necessary.

From Abū Hanīfah's creed, both Imam al-Tahawi and his towering contemporary, Abū Ma'sūr al-Māturīdī, developed their own formulations. The difference in the latter's approach is that he created a much stronger synthesis between tradition (naql) and reason (iṣlaḥ). Avoiding the subjugation of tradition to reason, characteristic of the Mu'tazilah, and the complete subjugation of reason to tradition, characteristic of the literalists, he struck a balance between the two, recognizing the necessity of reason to properly understand the revealed texts and to also respond appropriately to the relentless intellectual challenges that confront Muslims. His school, alongside the Ash'ari school, came to dominate most of what became known as the Sunni world of Islam. And his contemporary, Abū al-Hasan al-Ash'arī, worked independently of one another yet arrived at similar conclusions; almost the entire Muslim world eventually came to accept the theological doctrines of these two schools as orthodox.

Abū al-Hasan al-Ash'arī was especially suitable for refuting some of the obfuscations of the Mu'tazilah because he had studied with them. After mastering the necessary sciences of his day, including the Hellenistic syllabus of the Mu'tazilah, he proved himself a redoubtable student of Imam al-Jubbā'ī (d. 303/915), the leading Mu'tazili master in Basra. Imam al-Ash'arī eventually broke with his teacher and formulated his distinctive creed that, alongside the creeds of Imam al-Tahawi and Imam al-Māturīdī, spread throughout the lands of Islam. A neologism soon emerged to describe the Muslims who followed these creeds: the People of the Prophetic Way and the Majority of Scholars (ahl al-sunnah wa al-jamā'ah).

It is, however, the distinction of Imam al-Tahawi's creed to have gained the widest acceptance, as it is embraced even by the less speculative Ḥanbalī school that generally censured the more capacious schools of Imam al-Ashʿarī and Imam al-Māturīdī. Imam al-Tahawi's goal was to present a basic creedal primer for Muslims to learn quickly and without disputation. His creed can be viewed as a distillation of Qur'anic doctrine, a gleaning of the principal points of faith that every Muslim should know. He does not refute anyone with arguments; rather, he relies on the authority of such illustrious men as Abū Hanīfah, whose creed is the basis of his own treatise. The text was accepted by the Muslims, and especially used by those who adhered to the Ḥanafī school.

It was the simplicity of the text that made apparent the need for more discursive creeds when Muslims were confronted with continual assaults from the philosophers and heterodox sects, including the anthropomorphists, rationalists, and determinists. The Māturīdī and Ashʿarī scholars fulfilled this need; their often polemical works, with elaborate discussions of the competing theologies, became the dominant texts of the great teaching institutions of Islam. These creeds, along with their extensive commentaries, were studied in most of the universities of the Muslim world. That they are still taught today is a testimony to their brilliance and soundness, notwithstanding the malaise that began to afflict the intellectual disciplines of Islam after the tenth century CE and perpetuates the current intellectual stagnation of Muslim theology. Due to this deplorable condition, modern theological works are almost entirely devoid of contemporary issues—such as evolution, dialectical materialism, postmodernism, and quantum physics—that pose serious challenges to all religions.

On the other hand, Islam has never been plagued by an emphasis on theology. The simple creed of taṣbīḥ, the adherence of the first community to it, and the warnings of the early scholars about diving into uncharted waters was enough to stress ethics and purification through the understanding and practice of divine law. Imam al-Ghazzālī, known as the Proof of Islam (ḥujjat al-Islām), uses sacred law (in its outer and inner dimensions), not theology, as a vehicle for awareness of the Divine in his masterpiece, The Revivification of the Sciences of the Religion (iḥyāʾ 'ulūm al-dīn).

Theology, nonetheless, is necessary. Indeed, in an age of bewildering spiritual and intellectual impoverishedness, creed has never
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been more important. Every Muslim is obliged to learn it and is promised protection from deviant beliefs by following the sound texts of the scholastic community of Islam. Of them all, Imam al-Ṭahāwi’s text is the simplest, the most effective, and the least controversial. Nevertheless, it should be studied with a qualified teacher who has acquired his or her understanding from qualified teachers who are linked in an unbroken chain of transmission to the author of the creed itself. And to your Lord is the end (Qur’an 24:42).

Author’s Biography

IMAM ABū JA’FAR Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Salāmah al-Ṭahāwi came from a family where intellect and aristocracy, as well as piety and passion, were the hallmarks; hence, he was destined to live more than an ordinary life. Born in the village of Taha in Upper Egypt in 239/853, Imam al-Ṭahāwi was wet-nursed by the wife of the great hadith scholar, Abū Mūsā al-Misrī (d. 264/878), who is among the scholars Abū Dāwūd (d. 275/888) and al-Nasāʾi (d. 303/916) learned from. His grandfather Salāmah b. Abū al-Mālik al-Azdī and his paternal uncle Ibrāhīm, as principled as they were passionate, led the local insurrection against the Abbasid caliph, al-Maʿmūn, because he wanted to impose his chosen successor, Ali b. Mūsā (d. 303/818), on the Muslim world. An Abbasid pretender, Ibrāhīm b. al-Mahdī (d. 224/839), refused the appointed succession, claimed the throne for himself, and sent a representative to encourage both the political and military leaders of Egypt to relinquish their oath of allegiance to al-Maʿmūn and his successor and to join forces with him. Imam al-Ṭahāwi’s grandfather and paternal uncle joined the pretender’s resistance and organized an insurrection in Upper Egypt that eventually failed. Both men were imprisoned, sentenced to death, and later executed.

Imam al-Ṭahāwi’s mother and first teacher was a scholar. Her brother, Imam al-Muzani (d. 264/878), a direct student of Imam al-Shāfiʿi (d. 204/820), is known as the most influential proponent of the Shāfiʿi school in Egypt; hence she is referred to in biographical literature as “the sister of al-Muzani” (ukht al-Muzani) and sometimes as “the mother of al-Ṭahāwi” (umm al-Ṭahāwi). Like her brother, she also studied in the circle of Imam al-Shāfiʿi and eventually became a
notable and erudite jurist of some distinction in the Shafi'i school. Imam al-Suyuti (d. 911/1505) wrote about her:

She used to attend the circle of Imam al-Shafi'i and is quoted by Imam al-Kashi'i in the section on zakat. She is also mentioned by Imam al-Subki and by al-Asnawi in his biographical collection of Shafi'i scholars.¹⁸

Raised by and having kept company with such remarkable scholars and people of piety, it is no surprise that Imam al-Tahtawi inclined toward the study of sacred knowledge from an early age. As a child, he memorized the entire Qur'an and attended the lecture circles of his father. After benefiting from various study circles in the area, Imam al-Tahtawi earned the distinction of participating in his maternal uncle's celebrated gatherings of Shafi'i jurisprudence, but he was never a blind follower. Despite all of the significant Shafi'i scholars in his family, including Imam al-Shafi'i's own students (Imam al-Muhammad and his sister), Imam al-Tahtawi did not find intellectual satisfaction within the confines of Imam al-Shafi'i's school and eventually abandoned it, choosing instead the broader methodological school of the Persian jurist, Imam Abu Hanifah al-Nu'man b. Thabit (d. 150/767). Imam al-Tahtawi not only excelled in the Hanafi school, but became its preeminent scholar and proponent.

The cause of his conversion to Hanafi thought has preoccupied many an inquiring mind. One likely apocryphal story relates that Imam al-Tahtawi was studying a particularly abstruse legal matter at the house of his uncle, Imam al-Muhammad, and he was having difficulty understanding his uncle's explanation. So Imam al-Muhammad, who was known for his patience and gentle disposition, spent a good deal of time simplifying it for his young nephew. Despite his uncle's attempts, Imam al-Tahtawi still found the problem insoluble. At this point, Imam al-Muhammad reportedly said, "I swear to God, you will never amount to anything!" Hurt by this remark, Imam al-Tahtawi left his uncle's circle and began to study with the Hanafi scholars.

According to another narrative, also likely untrue, Imam al-Tahtawi listened to Hanafi scholars debating various juristic is-

sues and became enamored with their strong reasoning abilities and convincing arguments. It was upon discovery of his nephew's inclination toward the Hanafis that Imam al-Muhammad expressed his frustration by telling the impressionable young man, "I swear to God, you will never amount to anything!"

Given Imam al-Tahtawi's family position within the Shafi'i school and their loss of such a brilliant son to a rival school, it is perhaps understandable that some would foster comforting explanations that hinted of family rifts rather than personal conviction. A more probable reason for Imam al-Tahtawi's defection to the Hanafi school comes to light in the narration of Ibn Khallikân (d. 681/1282), in which Abu Ya'lâ al-Khaliqi (d. 446/1054) relates that he heard Muhammad b. Ahmad al-Sharifî say, "I once asked al-Tahtawi, 'What made you dissent from your uncle and adopt the Hanafi school?' He replied, 'I noticed my uncle was always dipping into the books of the Hanafis, and that led me to switch schools.'"

According to a similar narrative, Imam al-Tahtawi reportedly stated the following:

I began my studies with my uncle al-Muhammad and followed the Shafi'i school. After several years, Ahmad b. Abi 'Imrân came to Egypt as a judge, and I began to accompany him and learn from him. He had acquired his legal knowledge from the Kufans [Hanafis]. I soon left my original opinions for his. Later, I saw my uncle in my sleep, and he said to me, "O Abu Ja'far! Abu Ja'far has snatched you away!"

These stories are corroborated by Imam al-Tahtawi's biographer, the great twentieth-century hadith scholar and Hanafi master of Islamic sciences, Shaykh al-Kawthârî (d. 1371/1951):

As Imam al-Tahtawi increased in legal knowledge [under the tutelage of Imam al-Muhammad], he found himself pulled between the ebb and flow of constitutional law and statute law, between aggressive approaches and cautious ones, between legal precedence and new problems, and he was not always satisfied with his uncle's answers. So he began to investigate his uncle's personal approach to complex legal disputes and noticed that his uncle would examine the Hanafi approach to legal issues. His uncle ended up abandoning the opinion of his own teacher and agreeing with Abu Hanifah, and in several
instances he codified Hanafi views in his own Shafi'i legal text. As a result of seeing this, Imam al-Tahawi took up a serious study of the legal methodology of the Iraqi (Hanafi) school. His attraction to the school led to his apprenticeship with the Hanafi judge, Ahmad b. Abi 'Imrân, who had come from Iraq to reside and teach in Egypt.

So it is safe to assume that Imam al-Tahawi abandoned the school of his family in favor of the Hanafi school from personal conviction and utter sincerity. Such defections were not unusual at the time, and most of the defections were to the Hanafi school; the juristic and creedal schools were still in their embryonic stages, and many scholars were independent jurists who did not rely on the authority and legal opinions of others but derived rulings directly from the Qur'an and the hadith narrations. Often, the act of changing schools was motivated by employment and endowment situations that stipulated a certain school. Sidi 'Abd Allâh b. al-Hâji Ibrâhim (d. 1233/1818) states the following in his didactic poem on juridical methodology:

As for a move from one juristic school to another, It has been done by many fine and majestic scholars; Such as “The Proof of Islam,” I mean al-Ghazzâli, As well as al-Tahawi and Ibn Daqqiq, the mufîd. 23

Sidi Muhammad Yahya al-Walattî (d. 1330/1912) comments on the above lines:

[The author] here deems permissible the changing from one’s original school to another, such as from Malik’s legal school to the Shafi’i’s or vice versa. In fact, many great and eminent scholars of the past have done so, such as Imam al-Ghazzâli, who changed from a Shafi’i to a Malikî at the end of his life, or Abû Ja’far al-Tahawi, who changed from a Shafi’i to a Hanafi, or Ibn Daqqiq al-Id, who left the Malikî school for the Shafi’i, even though he continued to issue fawwas from both. 24

Changing schools is acceptable for two reasons: one finds one school easier to learn or practice than another, or one genuinely believes one school is stronger than the other. Al-Walattî mentions, however, that to switch schools for worldly reasons, such as procur-

ing a job or benefiting from an endowment specific to one school, is prohibited. 25 That he would even mention changing schools for worldly gain suggests that it may have been a widespread practice. In Imam al-Tahawi’s case, however, his noble intentions and the circumstances leading to his adoption of the Hanafi school are beyond reproach.

Political and Social Conditions

Imam al-Tahawi was born in the Abbasid period during a particularly dire time for Sunni Islam. Many rationalists and those influenced by Hellenistic thought were espousing their ideas, and the Mu’tazili doctrine diffused throughout the Muslim world. Countless theological issues were raised, obliging scholars to debate nonessential differences entirely absent in the early period of Islam. Against that backdrop came political intrigue and assassinations. During the Imam’s formative years, four of the Abbasid caliphs were murdered by a praetorian guard that arose from within the palace ranks of the newly converted Turkish warrior class.

For most of Imam al-Tahawi’s life, Egypt was politically dominated by the Tulunid dynasty, which began when, in 254/868, the Abbasid caliph (or a Turkish proxy of his) sent a young and highly competent Turkish governor, Ahmad b. Tulun (d. 270/884), to subdue Egypt and restore order. Within a short period, he gained control of Egypt and secured for himself a semi-autonomous state that gave nominal allegiance to the Abbasids. His dominion eventually extended into Palestine and Syria.

The Tulunid period was a cultural, economic, artistic, spiritual, and intellectual renaissance, with an extremely high standard of living for both the elite and the populace; literary and juristic scholarship flourished. Egypt soon became a major cultural center of the Muslim world, and continues to be so to this day. Tulunid rule ended in 323/935, when an Abbasid army invaded Egypt, ushering in the short-lived Ikhshidid period. Like the Tulunids before them, the autonomous Ikhshidid rulers wisely gave nominal allegiance to Abbasid Baghdad. This rule ended in 358/969, shortly after Imam
al-Taḥāwī's death, when the Fatimid general al-Jawhar (d. 381/991) conquered Egypt for the North African Shia dynasty that would soon thereafter build Cairo, establish al-Azhar University, and, in the process, create a serious crisis in the Sunni ethos.

Religious Scholarship in Egypt

Egypt was a Coptic Christian land before Islam arrived at its borders. Its ruler, al-Muqawqas, honored the Prophet's emissaries and even sent him precious gifts. The Prophet himself commanded his followers to be especially gracious with the people of Egypt due to the blood ties the Arabs had with the ancient Egyptians through the mother of the Arabs, Hagar. In the nineteenth year after the Prophet's Hijrah (during 'Umar b. al-Khattāb's caliphate), an army led by the military genius 'Amr b. al-'Āṣ (d. 42/663) conquered Egypt and removed an unjust Christian governance that was oppressing the people. Among his army were a number of great companions of the Prophet, including Abū Dharr al-Ghīfrī (d. 32/652), Zubayr b. al-'Awwām (d. 36/656), and Sa'd b. Abī Waqqās (d. 55/675). Some Egyptians converted to Islam during this time, but most remained Coptic Christians and were protected in accordance with Islamic law. The Egyptians were, overall, very pleased with the equity of their new rulers. Conversions continued, and the Umayyad caliph 'Umar b. 'Abd al-'Azīz (d. 101/720) sent Nāfi' (d. 117/735), the freed bondsman of Ibn 'Umar (d. 73/693), to teach the prophetic way to the increasing number of Egyptian converts to Islam. Nāfi' was one of the greatest of the jurists of Medina and a teacher of Imam Malik.

Soon, increased numbers of Egyptians were setting out for pilgrimage to Mecca and a visit to Medina to pray in the Prophet's mosque and greet his tomb, where they met Malik, the imam of the Prophet's city and the most learned of the taḥārin (the generation that immediately followed that of the Prophet's companions). They would sit in his circle and then return to Egypt with newly acquired knowledge and spread his teachings. Among them were those who would become known as the greatest Mālikī scholars, including Ibn al-Qāsim (d. 191/806), who is the dominant legal standard of the Mālikī school; Ibn Wahb (d. 197/812), the great hadith scholar and jurist; as well as 'Uthmān b. al-Ḥakam al-Judhamī and Ashhab b. 'Abd al-'Azīz. Their erudition, piety, and profound legal knowledge spurred the spread of Malik’s school throughout much of Egypt. During this time, Layth b. Sa'd al-Miṣrī (d. 175/791), an independent Muslim scholar, was also teaching in Egypt, and was the eponym of his own now derelict school. Imam al-Shaḥīṣī considered him more learned than Malik but lamented that he did not have the prominent students that Malik did to ensure the codification of his school and the success of his teachings.

Egypt was largely under the influence of Imam Malik’s school until his former student and an independent scholar in his own right, Imam al-Shaḥīṣī, moved there in 199/814. His eloquence, intelligence, and vast scope, which included the judgments of his first teacher Imam Malik, soon began to compete with the Malikī influence among the Egyptian populace. Many independent scholars visited Imam al-Shaḥīṣī, and some took up residence in Egypt, including Imam al-Ṭabarānī (d. 310/923), Imam al-Māridī, and Imam al-Mundhirī (d. 650/1258). Students of Imam Abū Ḥanīfah also ventured into Egypt, and debates among the various schools were commonplace. During Imam al-Taḥāwī’s lifetime, the six canonical hadith books were collected, and Imam Malik’s primary transmitter of al-Muwaṭṭa’, the Spanish scholar, Yahyā b. Yahyā al-Laythī (d. 234/848), also lived in Egypt and taught al-Muwaṭṭa to many Egyptian students.

Iraq was the center of the Abbasid government, and many of the greatest Ḥanafī scholars (including the school’s founder) lived there as well. Some served as judges, muftis, or advisors to the caliphate, but most shunned government service, following the example of Imam Abū Ḥanīfah himself. In Egypt, during Imam al-Taḥāwī’s lifetime, the Ḥanafī master Abū Bakr Bakkār b. Qutaybah b. As‘ad al-Thaqafi (d. 276/889) was appointed judge. He was a brilliant jurist who knew, in addition to his own school, the rulings of other schools, and debated openly, often leaving his challengers
nonplussed; he had an immense influence on Imam al-Taḥāwī. The
environment in Egypt during Islam’s formative years was profound-
ly stimulating for anyone seeking sacred knowledge, and Imam
al-Taḥāwī was born in the midst of it.

Imam al-Taḥāwī’s Intellectual Legacy

Imam al-Taḥāwī was a master of the primary sources of Islam—the
Qur’an, the Sunnah, and the opinions of the Prophet’s companions
and early independent scholars—as well as of the ancillary sciences
necessary for independent reasoning. Although he was considered
a first-rate jurist, a brilliant grammarian and philologist, as well
as an erudite man of letters, he was not beyond reproach. Imam
al-Taḥāwī bore the brunt of a few critics in the science of hadith.
Such criticism, however, was common among the scholars of
hadith, particularly those who made it their sole area of expertise
and demanded of polymaths, such as Imam al-Taḥāwī, the highest
degrees of mastery before approving their work. Even the most au-
thoritative masters of hadith were not spared criticism.

Imam al-Taḥāwī was well-versed in the biographies of hadith
narrators and was competent in drawing distinctions based on
their reliability. He was particularly admired for his mastery and
exposition of “the science of hidden defects” (ʿilm al-ʿilal), which is
among the more subtle and abstruse branches of hadith science. He
even corrected the mistakes in the hadith related by his uncle, Imam
al-Muzani. Indeed, his prolific output in hadith science ranks him
among the notable experts in the field to this day.

In Ḥanafi and Shafiʿī jurisprudence, Imam al-Taḥāwī is among a
raffined cadre of scholars known for their allegiance to their work,
independent of their school. The eminent jurist and erudite poly-
math, Shah Wali Allah of Delhi (d. 176/1762) states, “The Muktasər
of Imam al-Taḥāwī [in jurisprudence] proves that he was an in-
dependent scholar and did not merely regurgitate the opinions of the
Ḥanafī school. Upon finding proofs that weakened the Ḥanafī posi-
tion, he would follow the stronger position independent of his own
school.”
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Shāh Wali Allāh continues, “In summation, [Imam al-Taḥāwī]
should be counted among the same class of scholars as Abū Yūsuf
[d. 162/779] and Muhammad [d. 169/885].”4 Al-Kawthārī considered
the Imam intellectually free of the confines of the methodologies of
any specific legal school, a level hardly any jurists in Muslim history
ever achieved. He says, “Undoubtedly, Imam al-Taḥāwī obtained
the rank of complete methodological independence concerning legal
issues (ijtihād muṭlaq), notwithstanding the fact that he maintained
allegiance to Abū Ḥanīfah.”45 Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr (d. 403/1010), the
Prodigy of the West, described Imam al-Taḥāwī as “a Kufan in his
legal school but a scholar and master of all of the various schools.”46
Ibn al-Nadim (d. 380/990), a scholar, and the author of the Fihrist, said,
“He was the phoenix of his age in knowledge.”47

Imam al-Taḥāwī spent his life teaching and writing. Only recently
has part of his massive Qur’anic exegesis been discovered. His
work on juristic differences alone, according to his biographers,
comprised over 130 volumes. Lamentably, no extant copy has been
found. However, sections from a version that was abridged by the
great Ḥanafi scholar and exegete Abū Bakr al-Jassās (d. 370/981)
are in manuscript form in Istanbul. Imam al-Taḥāwī also wrote a trea-
tise on the nomenclature of hadith literature; a book on legal condi-
tions in contracts; a collection of hadith he learned from his uncle,
Imam al-Muzani, entitled Sunan al-Shafiʿī (a treatise that remains
in print to this day); and a famous commentary on all of the hadith
that relate to juristic issues (also still in print) entitled Sharḥ maʿānī
al-ʿaṯār. Perhaps his most important book is his legal text, known
as the Muktasər, upon which several Ḥanafi jurists wrote extensive
commentaries. Imam al-Taḥāwī also penned Mushkil al-ʿaṯār (also
in print today); this work offers possible resolutions for seemingly
contradictory hadith.

Imam al-Taḥāwī’s lost books are numerous and cover a wide
range of topics and include a voluminous history text; a biography
of Imam Abū Ḥanīfah; several refutations of scholars and some
of the widespread problematic opinions of his time; books on the
legal rulings concerning inheritance laws, the spoils of war, and
THE CREED OF IMAM AL-TAĦAWI

beverages; a book on stories and unusual topics; and a treatise on heresiology.

But it is perhaps his creed that Imam al-Taḥawi is best known for, the title of which has become synonymous with his name. Due to his avoidance of involved theological issues that have little or no practical consideration, coupled with his largely systematic presentation of the most fundamental issues of dogmatic theology, the creed has achieved an unusual level of acceptance in the Muslim milieu. During the thousand years since it was written, many great Muslim scholars have penned commentaries on it. It is still studied throughout the Muslim world and increasingly in the West.

Imam al-Subkī (d. 771/1370), the great Shāfi‘ī scholar, noted that the Ḥanafis, Shāfi‘īs, Mālikīs, and Ḥanbalis are one in creed:

All of them follow the opinion of the People of the Prophetic Way and the Majority of Scholars. They worship God in accordance with the creed of Abū al-Ḥasan al-Ash‘arī. None of them deviates from it, save the riffraff among the Ḥanafis and Shāfi‘īs who adopted the rationalist creed and those among the Ḥanbalis who opted for anthropomorphism. However, God protected the Mālikīs from such things, for we have never seen a Mālikī except that he was Ash‘arī in creed. In summation, the creed of al-Ash‘arī is what is contained in The Creed of Imam Abū Ja‘far al-Taḥawi, which the scholars of the various legal schools have endorsed and are content with as a creed.... So say to those fanatics among the sects, “Take heed, leave your fanaticism, abandon your heresies, and defend the religion of Islam.”

In all fairness, when Imam al-Subkī refers to “the creed of al-Ash‘arī,” he means the earlier of two schools within the Ash‘arī tradition: the first is the school of Imam al-Ash‘arī himself, which is very similar to The Creed of Imam al-Taḥawi; the second Ash‘arī school is the more speculative school of rational theology (kalam) that developed after the passing of Imam al-Ash‘arī, and that some scholars, such as Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr, condemned or differed with. However, there is agreement among the scholars that the accepted schools of theology in Sunni tradition are the Ḥanafi (or Salafi)

school, which is the earliest of the Sunni creedal formulations and is most succinctly represented in Imam al-Taḥawi’s text; the Ash‘arī creed, which, after the fourth century Hijrah (tenth century CE), was adopted by many scholars throughout the Muslim world; and, finally, the Māturidī creed that Ḥanafi scholars adopted and understood to be Imam al-Māturidī’s development of the early Ḥanafi creed, and whose scholars considered themselves within the Ḥanafi tradition of theology. A creedal tradition that inclined toward literalism developed within the Ḥanbalī school and alienated many of the more mainstream scholars of theology. Ḥanbalī theology was strongly opposed to discursive theology, and Iraq became an intellectual battleground that sometimes led students of the various schools to physically assault students of opposing schools.

With the exception of literalist trends among some Sunni scholars, the above-mentioned schools agree on the foundations and differ only in specific details that primarily concern theologians. Traditionally, non-experts never preoccupied themselves with such matters. Sufficing as a sound basis for their faith, The Creed of Imam al-Taḥawi is gleaned from the Qur’an, the small number of infallible hadith, and the consensus of the rightly-guided scholars of the first three centuries of Islam. It is the safest and simplest of the early articulations of Muslim belief.

Imam al-Taḥawi’s Character and Stature

Intellectual achievements are well and good, but greatness for Muslim scholars lies in their personal adherence to the embodiment of the character of Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ. An entire literature known as the ṭabaqāt (biographies) emerged to record and preserve the lives of men and women who excelled in different facets of Muslim life, including scholarship, asceticism, poetry and composition, as well as righteous governance and leadership. Scholars were expected to have impeccably ethical character, and self-mastery was at the essence of one’s pursuit of knowledge. As the Prophet ﷺ said, “Strength is not measured by martial pursuits but by the degree to which a man restrains himself when angered.”
Imam al-Ṭahāwī was not only a master of the esoteric sciences of Islam but also of the more esoteric knowledge of human psychology. He was noted for his immense kindness and patience; for his gentle disposition with his students, who came from far and wide, and whom he treated with great respect and dignity; for his lack of material desire; and for his humility and self-effacing nature without the employment of artifice in his behavior. He was also extremely eloquent and was a notable orator, debater, and conversationalist.

Once, Imam al-Ṭahāwī was with the notable Mālikī qādi, Abū ʿUthmān b. Ḥammād al-Baghdādī, when a person from Alwasn asked Imam al-Ṭahāwī a legal question. He answered by giving the qādi’s opinion on the matter.

The man retorted, “I did not come to ask the qādi. I came to ask you!”

The imam responded, “My goodness! I answered your question already with the opinion of the qādi,” and then reiterated his initial response.

Abū ʿUthmān interjected, “Give him your own opinion; may God grant you success.”

To this, the imam said, “May God speed you, sir; does the qādi permit me to do so? If that be the case, I will indeed.”

The qādi said, “Indeed, sir, I do.”

Only then did Imam al-Ṭahāwī answer the questioner with his own opinion.31

On another occasion, the emir of Egypt, Abū Mansūr al-Khayzārī (d. 970/1019), paid Imam al-Ṭahāwī a visit. As a way of currying favor with the masses, it was the custom of many rulers to marry their daughters to notable and beloved scholars. So the emir offered his daughter in marriage to Imam al-Ṭahāwī, who graciously declined. The emir then offered him wealth and land. Imam al-Ṭahāwī also turned down those offers. The emir then asked the imam to request whatever he wished for or needed.

Imam al-Ṭahāwī responded, “Will you truly listen and fulfill my request?”

“Of course!” replied the emir.

Imam al-Ṭahāwī then said, “Be vigilant in protecting your religion in order that it not be lost. Work to free your soul before death’s night falls and you are then unable to do so. Finally, refrain from oppressing and burdening any of God’s servants!”

Upon hearing this advice, the emir left the imam’s house, and it is said that after the meeting, he ceased the transgressions that he had been wont to commit.32

Ibn al-Zawlaq relates from Imam al-Ṭahāwī’s son that Qadi Faḍl Abī ʿUbaydah once asked the imam for his opinion on a certain matter. The imam told him what he thought, and the qādi responded, “That is not the opinion of Abū Hanīfah!”

Imam al-Ṭahāwī asked, “Do you think that I say everything that Abū Hanīfah says?”

“I thought you were a follower of Abū Hanīfah,” replied the qādi.

Imam al-Ṭahāwī retorted, “Only a fanatic follows another blindly.”

The qādi added, “Or an idiot!”33

Henceforth, “Only a fanatic follows another blindly” (lā yuqallīdu illā ʿaṣābi) became a common proverb in Egypt.

Imam al-Ṭahāwī was a master of legal contracts and endowment law, and on one occasion, his expertise and nobility of character were revealed in a remarkable way. Ibn Tulūn, the just ruler of Egypt, wanted to document all of his endowments for his grand mosque and hospital, so he handed the task to the well-known and respected Qadi Abū Khazīn of Damascus. When all of the documents were prepared, the ruler appointed a committee of contractual scholars to review them and check for mistakes. All of the scholars conferred and concluded that the documents were in order, except for the young scholar, Abū Jaʿfar al-Ṭahāwī, who said he detected a mistake. The emir sent a request asking him about the error, but he refused to tell the emissaries. The emir then requested his presence and asked Abū Jaʿfar to inform him of the mistake, but he said, “I cannot.” The emir asked why not, and he replied,
Because Abū Khazin is a noted scholar, and he may know something that I do not about the matter." This impressed Ibn Tulûn, who then gave Abū Ja'far permission to seek out Abū Khazin and to come to an agreement on the matter. When Abū Ja'far showed Abū Khazin the mistake, he admitted that he had been wrong and fixed it. However, when Abū Ja'far returned and Ibn Tulûn asked him about the matter, Abū Ja'far replied, "I was wrong, and I have acquiesced to Qadi Abū Khazin." Later, Ibn Tulûn learned the truth from Abū Khazin. In veiling the fault of the qadi, Imam al-Tahâwi increased in the emir's estimation, who thereafter honored him in gatherings. The emir understood that Imam al-Tahâwi had protected the qadi, knowing he was a pious man who would correct his own mistake and that it would hurt the elderly qadi's feelings to be corrected before the ruler by someone as young as Abū Ja'far. This anecdote reveals Imam al-Tahâwi's lack of ego and his concern for the well-being and sentiments of others. Muslim biographical literature contains many examples of his exalted and noble character; these are but a drizzle before a copious downpour.

Imam al-Tahâwi's Death, Progeny, and Legacy

According to Ibn Khallikân, Imam al-Tahâwi died in Egypt on the night of the first Thursday of the month of Dhû al-Qa'dah in the year 321 AH (October 933 CE) and is buried in the famous cemetery of the scholars known as Qarâfâh. The illustrious scholar al-Badr al-'Aynî (d. 855/1451) writes, "The grave of Abû Ja'far al-Tahâwi is just past the ditch on the right, near the mosque of Mahmûd, and it is a large grave and well-known." However, hundreds of years have passed, and the area has changed considerably; according to Imam al-Kawtharî, "The grave of Imam al-Tahâwi today is on a street just right of the street called al-Salik facing the tomb of Imam al-Shafî'î at the end of the tram line that leads to Imam al-Shafî'î's tomb.... Over [Imam al-Tahâwi's] tomb is a dome; the dates of his life are written on the site; and there is an august atmosphere about the place."

Imam al-Tahâwi left behind a son, Abû al-Hasan 'Ali b. Ahmad al-Tahâwi, who was a respected scholar in his own right.
License to Transmit and Translate (Ijāzah)

All praise is God’s alone, who established in the universe the clearest signs of His being and unity, who wrote in the Book of Existence the greatest proofs by which those of His servants who prefer guidance are directed to Him, and who sent messengers to convey the sacred injunctions and divine limits that He legislated, calling creation to His worship through the tidings and warnings that He revealed. And may blessings and peace shower our Master, Muhammad, the Seal of the Prophets—who was sent as a mercy to all of creation, about whom God said, Our messenger has come to you ... a light from God (Qur'ān 5:15)—and shower his family and companions, and his heirs who have aided this religion.

Teaching the Islamic creed to both young and old is the worthiest endeavor for scholars simply because it is the foremost knowledge both Muslims and non-Muslims need to rectify their beliefs here and save themselves on Judgment Day. Among the most beneficial works on the subject is the Explication of the Creed of the People of the Prophetic Way and the Majority of Scholars (Bayān ‘aqrādah ahl al-sunnah wa al-jamā‘ah) by the exemplar and Proof of Islam, Abū Ja‘far Ahmad b. Muhammad b. Salāmah al-Tahāwī (d. 321/933). The scholars circulated this text widely among one another and wrote many distinguished commentaries clarifying its contents. It has now been translated into English by Shaykh Hamza Yusuf Hanson al-Mālikī, the trustworthy jurist and well-known advocate of Islam, who toils on the North American continent in support of this religion and who is graced with eloquence and abundant knowledge of the sacred sciences.
This translation has achieved a luminescent style that fulfills the subject's every want. Shaykh Hamza read this text under my tutelage during the summer of 2000, after which he read with me a substantial amount of its commentary by Shaykh 'Abd al-Ghani al-Ghunaymi. He demonstrated a clear understanding of the topics covered in the text and the ability to assimilate its content with precision. He requested a license from me for its transmission in order to derive the blessing of direct linkage with its author. Thus, I say: I have authorized the aforementioned professor of faith, may God, the Sublime and Exalted, increase both of us in blessing upon blessing, in the Taḥāwiyyah Creed (al-‘Aqīdah al-Taḥāwiyyah) and other works of this inestimable subject. This authorization is comprehensive, permitting him to transmit, translate, comment upon, and teach the text, as I was authorized by my father, the Erudite Scholar of Syria, the Proof of Islam, Shaykh Ibrāhīm al-Ya‘qūbī (d. 1406/1986), under whose tutelage I read the text and its commentary by al-Ghunaymi. Since my father's passing, others have read the text under my tutelage nearly twenty times. In addition, I have also taught the commentaries of al-Ghunaymi and al-Bābahārī several times.

I was also authorized by the Mufti of Syria, Shaykh Muhammad Abū al-Yūsūf ‘Abīdīn (d. 1401/1981), whose chain of transmission is regarded as the highest chain on the face of the earth; he was authorized by his grandfather, the Mufti of Damascus, Aḥmad b. ‘Abd al-Ghanī ‘Abīdīn (d. 1307/1890). (Preceding him, the sequence of that chain of authorization going back is as follows: ‘Abd al-Rahmān al-Kaẓbarī (d. 1262/1846); Mustafia al-Rahmānī (d. 1205/1791); ‘Abd al-Ghanī al-Nabulusī (d. 1143/1730); Najm al-Dīn al-Ghazzī; his father, Badr al-Dīn al-Ghazzī; the Shaykh of Islam, Zakariyyā al-Ansārī; al-Hāfiẓ Ridwān b. Muḥammad al-‘Uqībī (d. 852/1448); al-Sharāf b. Kuwayk Muḥammad b. Muḥammad al-Miṣrī (d. 821/1418); Ibn al-Qurashah Ibrāhīm b. Barakāt al-Ba‘īlī al-Ḥanbalī (d. 740/1339); Muḥammad b. Aḥmad al-Yūnīnī al-Ba‘lābakkī (d. 658/1260); al-Hāfiẓ Abū Mūsā Muḥammad b. Abī Bakr al-Madīnī (d. 581/1185); Ibn al-Ikhṣāṣī Ismā‘īl b. al-Fadl al-Sārīj (d. 524/1130); Abū al-Farā Thānī al-Ḥusayn al-Ṭālī (d. 450/1058); al-Hāfiẓ Abū Bakr Muḥammad b. Ibrāhīm al-‘Aṣbahānī, widely known as Ibn al-Muqīrī’ (d. 381/991); Imam al-Taḥāwī.)


I ask God, the Sublime and Exalted, to benefit the readers with this translation, to reward its translator with the best compensation, to support this religion by it, to subdue the errant with it, and to manifest the plain truth.

MUHAMMAD BIN IYABIM AL-YA‘QUBI
14th Safar al-khayr, 1427/March 14, 2006
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الإجازة

الحمد لله الذي نسب في الكون أوّل لقاء الدائرة على وجوهه وحوده، ورفعت في سفر الموجود أعظم البayaranات التي توصل إليه من أخير البادية من عبده. وأرسل الرسول بالغون عنه ما شرعه من أحكامه ووجدوه، و嚏عقة القلب إلى عبادته ما أزالت من وعده ووعيه والصلاة والسلام علي سيدنا محمد، حامد النبي، المبعوث رحمة الله عليه، الذي قال الله فيه "قد جامعكم الله في عباده وكتبتم نيته", وعليه وأصحابه ووورثت الذين فلوا بصرية هذا الدين. أما بعد فإن العقدة الإسلامية أهم ما ينبغي أن يشتغل العلماء بتعليمه الصغر والكبير، وأعظم العلم التي يقتحم إليها المسلمون والكافرون، تصحيح الإعتقاد في الدنيا والنجاة يوم القيامة، ومن أعظم المؤلفات فيه (بيان عقدة أهل السنة والجماعة)، للإمام حجة الإسلام أبو حمزة أحمد بن سالمة الطحاوي، مؤلفه سنة 551 هـ، فقد تباهوا أعملاً، وناجوا في إصدار نسائهما الشرح العظيم. وقد ترجى إلى اللغة الإنجليزية، الإسديف، الداعية النظام، المدجج في سبيل الله نصرة هذا الدين في البلاد الأوروبية، المؤيد من الله تعالى باختصار القافية من العلوم الشرعية والملكية الخلقية، الشيخ حمد بوسف "تمت السائلة". فجاءت تزجة راهبة الأئمة، وأوقفت بالطبع وكان قد قرأ على هذه الرسالة صيغة سنة 569، ثم قرأ سطحاً من شرحها الشيخ عبد الغني الفيديفي، فأجاب على فهم لمباشح هذا العلم دقيقة، واستتبع لسانيه على وجه التحقيق ورغب به في أن يكون له بيا، لينال ورقة الداعي والمؤلث. فقد أصر على داعم الوعي فالأجر، بالعقدة الطهاوية وغيرها من المصنان في هذا العلم المُفتوح، إجازة فالنرجع له، الراوية والترجمة والشروح والتدريس كما أجازي بذلك وأحمد فيهم الشروط، ومسؤوليات الأئمة، والشهود، والمسلمون، وآخرون من الذين كانوا قد خدماتهم، وأحترامهم، وقد قرأ عليه الملتها وشرحهم الفيديفي، وأعداء المجمل مديناً به كثرة الأشياء، وكما أقر بشرح الفيديفي والباقي، ويبقى تحمله. وكما أجازي لمنى النجاح الشيخ محمد أبو السمراعي، وإعادة أعلى إساد على وجه الأرض، كما أجاز وجهه متطوع، محمد بن عبد الغني الفيديفي، وتلقي بالرسالة صيغة سنة 551، عن عبد الرحمٰن الفيديفي (ت 112) عن مصطفى الرحمي (ت 140) عن عبد الغني.
PRAYSE BELONGS TO God alone, the Lord of the worlds. The most learned scholar, the Proof of Islam, Abū Jaʿfar al-Warrāq al-Tahāwī (from Egypt), may God shower him with mercy, states that the following is an exposition of the creed of the People of the Prophetic Way and the Majority of Scholars (ahl al-sunnah wa al-jamāʿah) in accordance with the understanding of Muslim jurists such as Imam Abū Ḥanīfah al-Nuʿmān b. Thābit al-Kūfī, Abū Yūsuf Yaʿqūb b. Ibrāhīm al-Anṣārī, and Abu ʿAbd Allāh Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī. It includes their beliefs about the theological foundations of the religion upon which they base their worship of the Lord of the worlds.

We assert about the unity of God, as did Imam [Abū Ḥanīfah] and the two aforementioned imams [Abū Yūsuf and Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan]—may God have mercy on them—believing with providence, that:

1. God is one, without partner.
2. Nothing is like Him.
4. No deity exists save Him.
5. He is preexistent without origin, eternal without end.
6. He neither perishes nor ceases to exist.

*See Appendix A: “Biographies of Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Yūsuf, and al-Shaybānī.”*
7. Nothing will be except what He wills.

8. Imaginations cannot attain Him; comprehensions cannot perceive Him.

9. Creatures do not bear any similarity to Him.

10. Alive, He never dies; all-sustaining, He never sleeps.

11. He is a creator without any need to create and a provider without any stores of provision.

12. He seizes life without fear and resurrects without effort.

13. Just as He was possessed of His attributes prior to His creation, so He remains with the same attributes without increasing in them as a result of His creation coming into being.

14. As He was before creation qualified with specific attributes, so He remains forever described by them.

15. It is not after creating the universe that He merits the name the Creator, nor through originating His creatures that He merits the name the Originator.

16. He possesses the quality of sovereignty with or without fief, and the quality of creativity with or without creation.

17. And while He is the Resurrection of the Dead after He resurrects them, He merits the same name before their actual resurrection. Likewise, He merits the name the Creator before their actual creation.

18. That is because He is omnipotent. Everything is dependent upon Him, and every affair is effortless for Him. He needs nothing, and there is nothing like Him, yet He is the Hearing, the Seeing (Qur'an 42:11).
19. He originated the creation with omniscience.

20. He measured out the lots [of all He created].

21. He determined the spans of their lives.

22. None of their actions were concealed from Him before He created them. He knew what they would do before He created them.

23. He commanded them to obey Him and proscribed them from disobeying Him.

24. All things are in accordance with His determination and will, and His will is fulfilled.

25. His servants are without volition except what He wills for them. Thus, what He wills for them will be, and what He does not will for them will not be.

26. He guides, protects, and preserves whomever He wills by grace. And He misguides, forsakes, and afflicts whomever He wills by justice.39

27. All of them vacillate in His providence between His grace and His justice.

28. He transcends having any opposites or peers.

29. None can thwart His decree, overrule His judgment, or override His command.

30. We believe in all of that and are certain that all of it is from Him.

31. [We believe] Muhammad ﷺ is His chosen one, His preeminent prophet, and His messenger, with whom He is well pleased.
32. He is the finality of the prophets, the paragon of the pious, the master of the messengers, and the beloved of the Lord of all worlds.

33. Any claim to prophecy after him is deviation and heresy.

34. He is an emissary to all of the jinn⁴⁶ and the whole of humanity, with truth and guidance, light and radiance.

35. The Qur’an is the Word of God that emanated from Him without modality in its expression. He sent it down to His messenger as a revelation. The believers accept it as such literally. They are certain it is, in reality, the Word of God, the Sublime and Exalted.

36. Unlike human speech, it is eternal and uncreated.

37. Whoever hears it and alleges it is human speech has disbelieved, for God has rebuked, censured, and promised such a one an agonizing punishment, stating, I will roast him in the Hellfire (Qur’an 74:26). Because God threatened those who allege, This is merely human speech (Qur’an 74:25) with an inferno of torment, we acknowledged and ascertained that it was the Word of the Creator of humanity, and does not resemble human speech.

38. Whoever ascribes any human qualities to God has blasphemed.⁴⁷ So whoever perceives this takes heed and refrains from such statements of the disbelievers and knows that God, the Sublime and Exalted, in all of His attributes, is utterly unlike humanity.
39. The Beatific Vision is a reality for the people of Paradise without enclosure or modality, just as the Book of God pronounces, Some faces will be aglow that day, gazing at their Lord (75:22–23). Its explanation is as God, the Sublime and Exalted, knows it to be and as He intended.

40. All that came [to us] from the Messenger in the authentic hadith is just as he said it was, and the meaning is as he intended. We do not interpret any of it to accord with our opinions, nor do we presume any of it to accord with our whims.

41. No one is secure in his religion unless he resigns himself to God, the Sublime and Exalted, and His Messenger and consigns whatever obscures his understanding to the One who knows its meaning.

42. One’s footing in Islam is not firm save on the ground of resignation and surrender.

43. Whoever covets knowledge that was barred from him, discontented with the limits of his understanding, shall be veiled from pure unity, unadulterated comprehension, and sound faith on account of his covetousness. He will then vacillate between belief and disbelief, assertion and negation, and resolution and denial. Obsessive, aimless, skeptical, and deviant, he is neither an assertive believer nor a resolute denier.

44. Belief in the Beatific Vision of the denizens of Paradise is incorrect for anyone who surmises that it is imaginary or interprets it to be a type of comprehension. For correct interpretation of the Beatific Vision—or any quality annexed to Lordship—lies in leaving interpretation and cleaving to resignation. Upon this are based the religion of the Muslims and the sacred laws of the prophets.
45. Whoever does not guard against denying [God's attributes] and against anthropomorphism has erred and failed to acquire understanding of divine transcendence.

46. For undoubtedly, our Lord, the Sublime and Exalted, is described with the attributes of unity and uniqueness. No one in creation is in any way like Him.

47. He is transcendent beyond limits, ends, supports, components, or instruments. The six directions do not contain Him as they do created things.

48. The Ascension⁴⁴ is true. The Prophet ﷺ was taken by night and ascended in person and consciously to the heavenly realm, and from there to wherever God willed in the celestial heights. God honored him with what He willed and revealed to him that which He revealed: His mind did not imagine what he saw (Qur'an 53:11). May God bless him and grant him peace in this and the final abode.

49. The Pool⁴⁵ that God has honored him with as solace for his community is real.

50. The [Prophet’s] Intercession⁴⁶ that God deferred for them is true, as narrated in the traditions.

51. The covenant⁴⁷ that God made with Adam ﷺ and his progeny is true.

52. God has always known the total number of those who will enter Paradise and those who will enter the Fire. Nothing is added to or subtracted from that number.

53. His knowledge includes all of their actions, which He knew they would perform.

54. “Each is facilitated to do that for which he was created.”⁴⁸
55. The judgment of one's deeds lies in one's final assertive act.49

56. Those saved are ultimately saved by God's decision, just as those damned are ultimately damned by God's decision.

57. The essence of the divine decree is God's secret within creation. No intimate angel or prophetic emissary has ever been privy to it.

58. Delving into the decree is a means to spiritual loss, a descent into deprivation, and a path toward transgression. So beware, and take every precaution against that, whether through perusal, ideation, or suggestion. God, the Sublime and Exalted, has concealed knowledge of the decree from His creatures and has prohibited them from desiring it. As the Sublime said in His Book, He is not questioned about what He does—it is they who will be questioned (21:23). Hence, anyone who asks, “Why has He done this?” has rejected the judgment of the Book. And whoever rejects the judgment of the Book is among the disbelievers.

59. The above epitomizes what one with an illuminated heart among the protected of God needs. In addition, it is the rank of the deeply rooted in knowledge, given that knowledge is of two types: the humanly accessible and the humanly inaccessible. To either deny accessible knowledge or to claim the inaccessible is disbelief. Faith is not sound unless accessible knowledge is embraced and the pursuit of the inaccessible is abandoned.

60. We believe in the Pen and the Tablet50 and in all that was inscribed.
61. Hence, if everyone united to remove from existence what God, the Sublime and Exalted, decreed would exist, they could not. Likewise, if they all united to introduce something into existence that God, the Sublime and Exalted, did not decree, they would be unable to do so. The Pen's work is done concerning what was, is, and will be until the Day of Resurrection.

62. Whatever misses a person could not have afflicted him. And whatever afflicts him could not have missed him.

63. A servant of God is obliged to know that God's omniscience preceded everything in His creation. He then measured everything out exactly and decisively. There is none among His creatures either in the heavens or on the earth who can nullify, overrule, remove, change, detract from, or add to His decree.

64. All of the aforementioned is part of the doctrine of faith, the principles of knowledge, and the assent of His unity and sovereignty as God, the Sublime and Exalted, said in His Book, And He created every thing and determined its measure (25:2). And He, the Sublime and Exalted, also said, And the command of God is an ordained decree (33:38).

65. So woe to whomever on account of the decree becomes antagonistic with God, the Sublime and Exalted. In his desire to plumb its depths, he summons a morbid heart; in his delusion, he seeks a secret concealed in the unseen, only to end up, in whatever he says concerning it, a wicked forger of lies.

66. The 'arsh [the most immense of God's creation] and the kuri [a vast luminous creation in the presence of the 'arsh] are both real. 

The Creed of Imam Al-Tahawi

61. فَلَوّ اجْتَهَدَ الْخَلْقُ كُلَّهُ عَلَى شَيْءٍ ۖ كَثِبَةَ اللهِ تَعَالَىَِّ "فَيَّالَهَا كَانَ، لِيَجْعَلُوهُ عِينًا كَانَ، لَمْ يُقُدِّرُوا عَلَيْهِ؛ وَلَوّ اجْتَهَدُوا كُلَّهُ عَلَى شَيْءٍ ۖ كَثِبَةَ اللهِ تَعَالَىَِّ "فَيَّالَهَا كَانَ لِيَجْعَلُوهُ كَانَ، لَمْ يُقُدِّرُوا عَلَيْهِ؛ 

62. "جَفَّ" ۖ الْقُلْمُ بَيۡنَ هَوۡا كَانَ إِلَى الْقِيَامَةِ بِكُلِّ شَيۡءٍ، 

63. وَمَا أَحَذَّرَ الْعَبِيدُ مِنَ الْمَضِيِّقُهُ، وَمَا أَصَابَهُ لمْ يَكُنْ لِيَحْتُمَّ 

64. "وَعَلَى الْعَبِيدِ أَن يَعْلَمُ أَنَّ اللهَ قَدۡ سَبَقَ جَلَّ جَلَّهُ فِي كُلِّ شَيۡءٍ،" مِنَ الْخَلۡقِ، فَقَدۡرَهُ "ظَلَّ" تَقۡدِيرًا مَّحۡكُومًا نِسۡمَةً، لِنَسۡمَةٍ فَقۡطُ، وَلَا مَعۡتَبٍّ، وَلَا مَثۡلُ، وَلَا مَخۡلُوقٌ، وَلَا مَعۡتَضِرٍّ، وَلَا شَخۡصٌ، وَلَا 

65. "بِنَ هَلۡقِهِ فِي سَنَاتِهِ وَأَرۡضِهِ؛

66. وَذَلِكَ مِنْ عَنۡدٍ الْإِلَهِ، وَأَصۡحَابَ الْمُتَفَقِّهِ، وَالَّذِي تَبۡوِيدُ اللَّهِ تَعَالَىَ وَرَضۡيَهُ عَنْهُ، كَمَا قَالَ تَعَالَىَ "فِي كُلِّ شَيۡءٍ،" وَقَالَ تَعَالَىَ "ۡوَكَانَ أَمۡرُ اللهِ قَدۡرًا، مَّقَدِّرُوُا " 

67. "فَقَدۡرَهُ "ظَلَّ" تَقۡدِيرًا مَّحۡكُومًا نِسۡمَةٍ، لِنَسۡمَةٍ فَقۡطُ، وَقَالَ تَعَالَىَ "ۡوَكَانَ أَمۡرُ اللهِ قَدۡرًا، مَّقَدِّرُوُا " 

68. "فَقَدۡرَهُ "ظَلَّ" تَقۡدِيرًا مَّحۡكُومًا نِسۡمَةٍ، لِنَسۡمَةٍ فَقۡطُ، وَقَالَ تَعَالَىَ "ۡوَكَانَ أَمۡرُ اللهِ قَدۡرًا، مَّقَدِّرُوُا "
67. Yet, God has no need of the 'arsh and whatever is beneath it.

68. He encompasses and transcends everything, and rendered His creation incapable of His encompassment.\(^52\)

69. With faith, conviction, and resignation, we assert that God befriended Abraham \(^53\) and addressed Moses \(^54\).

70. We believe in the angels, the prophets, and the books that were revealed to the messengers. And we bear witness that they were all following the manifest truth.

71. We refer to the people who face our qibla as Muslim believers, as long as they acknowledge, confirm, and do not deny all that the Prophet \(\mu\) brought, stated, and imparted.

72. We do not speculate about God or dispute over God’s religion.

73. We do not argue about the Qur’an. Rather, we testify that it is the Word of the Lord of the universe as revealed through the Trustworthy Spirit,\(^56\) who taught it to the paragon of the messengers, Muhammad \(\mu\). It is the Word of God, the Sublime and Exalted. No mortal speech compares to it, and we do not say it is created.

74. We do not dissent from the majority of Muslims.

75. We do not declare anyone among the people of our qibla a disbeliever for any sin, as long as he does not deem it lawful.\(^57\)

76. Nor do we opine that where there is faith, a sin does not harm the sinner.\(^58\)
77. As for the virtuous among the believers, we trust that God will pardon them and admit them into Paradise by His grace. We do not, however, assume that about them, nor insist that they are in Paradise. We pray for the forgiveness of the sinful among them. And while we fear for their salvation, we never engender in them despair.

78. Assurance and despair both displace one from the congregation of Islam. For Muslims, the path of truth lies between them.

79. A believer does not lose his faith except by denying that which made him a believer.

80. Faith entails assertion with the tongue and conviction in the heart.

81. All that God revealed in the Qur'an and all that is verified from the Prophet concerning sacred law and its explanation are true.

82. Faith is one reality, and the people of faith are essentially the same. Any disparity among them results from distinctions in knowledge, piety, struggle, and adherence to priorities.

83. All believers are the protected of the Beneficent. The noblest of them with God is the most obedient and most adherent to the Qur'an.

84. Faith is belief in God, His angels, His books, His messengers, the Last Day, the resurrection after death, and the decree—its good and evil, sweetness and bitterness are all from God, the Sublime and Exalted.
85. We believe in all of the above. We do not distinguish among any of His messengers, and we affirm all that they brought.

86. People of mortal sins among the community of Muhammad will not abide in the Fire forever, as long as they died monotheists. This includes even the unrepentant that, nonetheless, met God as knowing believers. They are in His judgment and decree. If He pleases, He forgives and pardons them by His grace, as He mentioned in His Book: Surely, God does not forgive idolatry, but He forgives anything less of whomever He pleases (4:48). Or if He pleases, He punishes them in the Fire by His justice, and then removes them by His grace and through the intercession of those so granted among His obedient servants. He then sends them to His Paradise.

87. The above is such because God protects those who acknowledge Him. He will not treat them in either of the two abodes as He treats His deniers who are destitute of His guidance and bereft of His protection. O God, Protector of Islam and its adherents, root us firmly in Islam until we meet You in that state.

88. We consider congregational prayer behind any of the people of qibla, both the virtuous and the sinful, to be valid. We also pray over those among them who died.

89. We do not specify anyone among them to be in either Paradise or the Fire. We also do not accuse any of them of disbelief, idolatry, or hypocrisy, as long as none of that manifests from them. We resign their inner states to God, the Sublime and Exalted.
90. We do not consider violence or coercive power against anyone from the community of Muhammad ﷺ acceptable, unless legislated [by sacred law, such as penal punishments or the suppression of rebellion].

91. We do not accept any rebellion against our leaders or the administrators of our public affairs, even if they are oppressive. We also do not pray for evil to befall any one of them or withdraw our allegiance from them. We consider our civic duty to them concordant with our duty to God, the Sublime and Exalted, and legally binding on us, unless they command us to the immoral. We pray for their probity, success, and welfare.

92. We adhere to the Sunnah⁵⁶ and the majority [of scholars], and we avoid isolated opinions, discord, and sectarianism.

93. We love just and trustworthy people, and we loathe oppressive and treacherous people.

94. In inconclusive matters of knowledge, we assert, "God knows best."

95. We consider valid the dispensation of wiping over foot-coverings while residing or traveling, as related in authentic reports.⁵¹

96. Hajj and jihad⁵⁶ are perpetual obligations that are carried out under legitimate Muslim rulers—irrespective of their personal probity—until the End of Time. Nothing can nullify or rescind them.

97. We believe in the noble, angelic scribes whom God has appointed as guardians over us.

98. We believe in the Angel of Death, who is entrusted with seizing the souls of all sentient life.

⁵¹See Appendix B: "Understanding Jihad."
99. We believe in the punishment of the grave for all who warrant it. We believe in the interrogation by Munkar and Nakhr of the deceased in his grave about his Lord, his religion, and his prophet, as conveyed in the narrations of the Prophet ﷺ and of his companions ﷺ.

100. One’s grave is either a meadow from the gardens of Paradise or a pit from the abyss of the Fire.

101. We believe in the resurrection of the dead, the recompense of deeds on the Day of Judgment, the review of one’s entire life, the reckoning, the recital of one’s own book of actions, the reward and punishment, the Bridge over the Fire, and the Scales upon which one’s actions are weighed.

102. Paradise and the Fire are both created; however, they neither perish nor terminate.

103. God, the Sublime and Exalted, created Paradise and the Fire before creating the world. He then created denizens for both abodes. He admits to Paradise whomever He wills by His grace and condemns to the Fire whomever He wills by His justice.

104. All will act in accordance with their design and are moving inexorably toward the purpose for which they were created.

105. Welfare and affliction, good and evil, are determined for everyone.

106. The [divine] enablement that an act requires—for example, an act of obedience—which cannot be attributed to a creature, occurs concurrent with the act. As for the [material] enablement that results from health, capacity, poise, and sound means, it precedes the act. In sacred law, it is upon the latter that legal and moral obligation hinge, just as God, the Sublime and Exalted, states, God oblige no soul with more than its own capacity (Qur’an 2:286).
107. Human actions are God’s creations but humanity’s acquisitions.  

108. God, the Sublime and Exalted, has only obliged human beings to do what they are capable of doing, and they are only capable of doing what He obliged them to do—hence the meaning of “No strength or power exists save by means of God.” We assert that no one’s strategy, move, or change can avert anyone from any act of disobedience to God, unless accompanied by God’s providence; nor has anyone the ability to initiate and fulfill duties to God save by the providence of God, the Sublime and Exalted.

109. Everything is confluent with the will of God, the Sublime and Exalted, and with His knowledge, judgment, and decree.

110. His will supersedes all other wills, just as His decree thwarts all ruses to avoid it.

111. God does what He wants yet is never iniquitous.

112. Holy is He beyond any evil or adversity, and transcendent is He above any blemish or perversity. He is not questioned about what He does—it is they who will be questioned (Qur’an 21:23).

113. In the supplications and charities of the living, there is benefit for the dead.

114. God, the Sublime and Exalted, answers prayers and fulfills needs.

115. He possesses everything, and nothing possesses Him.

116. Nothing is independent of God, even for the twinkling of an eye. Whoever imagines he is independent of God for even the twinkling of an eye has disbelieved and is among those brought to ruin.

117. God has wrath and pleasure, but not like that of any human.
118. We love the companions of God’s Messenger ﷺ. We are not, however, extreme in our love for any one of them. Nor do we dissociate from any of them. We loathe those who loathe them, and we only mention their merits. Loving them is essential to religion, faith, and spiritual excellence, and hating them amounts to infidelity, hypocrisy, and extremism.

119. We assert that the caliphate after the death of the Messenger ﷺ was first for Abū Bakr al-Siddiq ﷺ, due to his preeminence and precedence over the entire community, and then for ʿUmar b. al-Khaṭṭāb ﷺ, followed by ʿUthmān b. ʿAffān ﷺ, and concluding with ʿAlī b. Abī Ṭālib ﷺ. They are the Guiding Caliphs and Guided Leaders.

120. We testify, as the Messenger of God ﷺ before us, that the ten whom he designated and assured of Paradise are indeed in Paradise.64 His pronouncement is true, and they are Abū Bakr, ʿUmar, ʿUthmān, ʿAlī, Taḥḥāb, al-Zubayr, Saʿd, Saʿīd, ʿAbd al-Rahmān b. ʿAwf, and Abū ʿUbaydah b. al-Jarrāh, who is the “Trustee of this Community” ﷺ.

121. Whoever speaks well of the companions of the Messenger of God ﷺ, his chaste wives, and his purified progeny is absolved of hypocrisy.

* See Appendix C: “Biographies of the Ten Companions Promised Paradise.”
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122. The pious scholars of the past and those after them who follow their path—people of goodness and tradition, of understanding and profound scholarship—should be mentioned only in the best manner. Anyone who speaks ill of them has deviated from the path.

123. We do not prefer any saint to any prophet. Indeed, we assert, "One prophet is better than all of the saints."

124. We believe in the miracles of the saints as conveyed and verified by trustworthy narrators.

125. We believe in the signs of the End of Time, including the appearance of the Antichrist and the Descent of Jesus, the son of Mary, from the celestial realm. We also believe in the sun's rising in the west and the appearance of the Beast of the Earth from its appointed place.

126. We do not believe in diviners or soothsayers or anyone who claims anything that contradicts the Book, the Sunnah, or the consensus of Muslim scholars.

127. We consider the mainstream to be true and correct, and schism to be deviant and destructive.

128. The religion of God, both in Heaven and on earth, is one. It is the religion of Islam. God, the Sublime and Exalted, says, Verily, the religion with God is submission (Qur'an 3:19). The Sublime also states, If anyone seeks other than submission to God as a religion, it will not be accepted from him (Qur'an 3:85). Finally, the Sublime says, And I am pleased with Islam as a religion for you (Qur'an 5:3).
129. Islam lies between the extremes of excess and neglect, immanence and transcendence, determinism and free will, and assurance of salvation and despair of God's grace.

130. This is our religion and our creed in public and in private. We absolve ourselves before God of anyone who opposes what we have recounted and clarified here. We ask God for a firm foundation in faith, that He seal our lives with it, and that He protect and preserve us from any heresies, variant and baseless opinions, and corrupt doctrines, such as those of the Anthropomorphists,\(^5\) Rationalists,\(^6\) Pantheists,\(^7\) Determinists,\(^8\) Dualists,\(^7\) and any other deviant sects that oppose the Sunnah and the majority of Muslim scholars and that ally themselves with misguidance. We are completely absolved from them. For us, they are astray and ruined. Ultimately, protection and success is from God alone.
Appendix A

Biographies of Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Yūsuf, and al-Shaybānī

Abū Ḥanīfah
Abū Ḥanīfah al-Nu‘mān b. Thābit al-Kūfī, also known as al-Imām al-A‘zam, the Greatest Imam (d. 150/767)

Abū Ḥanīfah’s most eminent achievement was the systematization of Islamic legal doctrine that led to one of the major schools of Islamic law, which later became the official state-sponsored school of the Ottoman Empire, the Mughals, and others. In fact, at least half of the Muslims in the world today are among its followers, from Iraq and Iran to India and Pakistan, and from China and Japan to Europe and Africa.

Born in Kufa, an intellectual center of Iraq, Abū Ḥanīfah lived part of his life in the time of the Umayyad Empire and the remainder during the rule of the Abbasids after the Umayyads were overthrown in 132/750. He was from the immediate generation after the companions of the Prophet ﷺ (al-tābi‘īn), though some have declared him to be among those who succeeded that generation (tābi‘ al-tābi‘īn).

The son of a silk merchant, Abū Ḥanīfah spent some of his youth successfully earning a livelihood in the silk trade, but found that it did not fulfill his aspirations. He began his search for knowledge, studying under prominent scholars for years, and eventually became a mujtāhid, one who is capable of independent juridical reasoning (jītiḥād). Abū Ḥanīfah had also become a wealthy businessman, but his wealth did not prevent him from exhibiting generosity, as he donated much of his fortune to his students and colleagues. It is said that he paid five hundred dirhams to his son’s teacher when he
completed the opening surah of the Qur'an, al-Fatīḥah; in his day, a ram cost one dirham. Abū Ḥanīfah was renowned not only for his generosity, but also for his piercing insight and superior intellect. It is therefore no coincidence that his school of thought makes the most prodigious use of analogical reasoning based on established precedents (qiṣṣās) in its derivation of legal rulings (aḥkām).

Abū Ḥanīfah had many students, the most famous of whom were Qadi Abū Yūsuf al-Anṣārī and Muhammad b. al-Ḥasan al-Shaybānī; they are called the “two companions” and were largely responsible for the preservation and organization of the Ḥanafi school. Ibn al-Mubārak (d. 181/797), a student of both Abū Ḥanīfah and Mālik, said, “If there is any one who should make use of analytical reasoning (ru'y), then Abū Ḥanīfah is most justified in doing so.”

Abū Ḥanīfah studied with 4,000 scholars, a number of whom, such as Mansūr b. al-Mu'tamīr (d. 133/751) and Mujahīd b. Jabr (d. 104/722), can be found in the chains of narration (asnād) of the canonical works of Ḥadīth by al-Bukhārī (d. 256/870) and Muslim (d. 261/875). Abū Ḥanīfah later authored many books, including al-Fiqh al-kabār, al-Fiqh al-ausāt, and a compilation of hadith known as Musnad Abū Ḥanīfah. His juristic methodology (wustul) is based on the Qur'an, the Sunnah, consensus (ijmā'), analogy (qiṣṣās), and equity (istihlā'). Abū Ḥanīfah was the first to systematize the subjects related to jurisprudence in the order that most subsequent scholars followed, beginning with purification (tahānāt), followed by prayer (salāt), and so forth. This ordering can be found in the canonical hadith texts as well as books of jurisprudence (fīq). The main book for determining the dominant opinion in the Ḥanafi school is Ḥashiyat rādī al-muḥtār 'alā al-durr al-mukhtār by Ibn ʿAbīdīn (d. 1252/1836).

Abū Ḥanīfah was widely respected for his legal acumen and for achieving the highest level of juristic thought. Al-Awzā'ī (d. 157/774) said of him, “[Abū Ḥanīfah] is the most knowledgeable when it comes to complex [judicial] matters.” Al-Shāfiʿī (d. 204/820) stated, “All people are novices in jurisprudence when compared to Abū Ḥanīfah.” Other scholars have said that no one criticizes Abū Ḥanīfah except an envious or an ignoramus.

Beyond his intellectual abilities, he was recognized for his merciful and pious nature and for his general nobility. Zafar b. al-Hudhayl al-Baṣrī (d. 158/775), who was one of the oldest of Abū Ḥanīfah's students and who held different opinions from the Imam on several issues, stated, “Who is more noble than Abū Ḥanīfah? He was a scholar and forbear and never engaged in backbiting; he was of such scrupulousness that all of creation could not duplicate it.” The great Shāfiʿī scholar, al-Dhahabī (d. 748/1348), said of him, “Accounts of his piety and devotion have reached the degree of an unbroken chain of infallible narrations (tawātūr).” One such account is of his refusal to sit in the shade of a wall belonging to someone to whom he had loaned money. He said, “Every loan that brings increase is usury.” Abū Ḥanīfah was also known for his unwavering devotion to prayer, such that he spent forty years praying the dawn prayer without any need to renew his ablutions from the night prayer.

Courageously, Abū Ḥanīfah abstained from the demands of the sultans of his time; indeed, when al-Mansūr (d. 158/774), the second caliph of the Abbasids, appointed him to a judgeship, he refused, citing scruples. When the caliph insisted and swore that Abū Ḥanīfah accept, he too insisted and swore he would not accept. The caliph subsequently confined the imam to his home until he died, in 160/776 in Baghdad.

Upon his death, over 50,000 people attended his funeral prayer, and al-Mansūr came to pray over him at his grave, as did others for more than twenty days after his death. May God have mercy on him and fill his grave with light.

Abū Yūsuf al-Anṣārī
Ya'qūb b. Ibrāhīm b. Ḥabīb al-Anṣārī al-Kūfī al-Baghdādī, also known as Abū Yūsuf (d. 182/798)

The Most Renowned of Abū Ḥanīfah's associates, Abū Yūsuf was not only a jurist but also a scholar of Qur'anic exegesis (tefsīr), hadith, and history. Yahyā b. Ma'in (d. 233/848) said, “There
is no one more accomplished among those who prefer analytical reasoning than Abú Yúsuf, and there is no one from among Abū Hanifah's associates who is more versed in jurisprudence."

Alongside Muhammad al-Shaybānī, Abū Yúsuf helped form and develop the Ḥanafi school of jurisprudence. Once, while Abū Yúsuf was ill, his teacher, Abū Hanifah, said to his companions, "When—far be it so—this man [Abū Yúsuf] dies, the world will lose one of its great scholars." The first of Abū Hanifah's students to spread his knowledge, Abū Yúsuf held the laudable position of judge for three successive caliphs, until he was decreed the "judge of judges," shortly before his death.

His beginnings were more modest. Born in Kufa, Abū Yúsuf was not from a wealthy family, and his father pressured him to go into business to earn a livelihood. When this affected his formal education, Abū Hanifah took it upon himself to sponsor Abū Yúsuf, and gave him a substantial stipend each month. In pursuit of knowledge, Abū Yúsuf traveled to Medina and met Mālik.

Beyond his analytical skills as a jurist, Abū Yúsuf was pious, his litany of prayers in the day would equal one hundred units of prayer (rakā'āt). He was also a scholar of hadith. Al-Ṭabarī (d. 310/923) stated about him, "The judge was an excellent legal scholar and ḥafiz [one who has memorized over 100,000 hadith]; he was renowned for his memorization of hadith; he used to hear the hadith scholar recite fifty or sixty hadith, and then he would narrate them without delay to the people." Al-Dhaḥabī, himself a premier scholar of hadith, declared Abū Yúsuf a ḥafiz of hadith. So, it was no surprise that Abū Yúsuf was the first hadith scholar whom Ahmad b. Ḥanbal, the eponym of the Ḥanbali school of Islamic law, sought in his studies.

Among the sayings attributed to Abū Yúsuf is, "Seeking wealth via alchemy results in bankruptcy; seeking religious knowledge via disputation results in heresy; and pursuing the study of isolated hadith results in being accused of falsehood." He died in 182/798 in Baghdad. May God have mercy on him and fill his grave with light.

Muhammad al-Shaybānī
Muhammad b. al-Ḥasan b. Farqad al-Shaybānī, also known as Abū ʿAbd Allāh (d. 189/804)

MUHAMMAD B. AL-ḤASAN had the good fortune to study under and be an associate of both Abū Ḥanifah and, later, Abū Ḥanifah's top student, Abū Yúsuf. He also journeyed to Medina and studied Mālik's al-Muwāṭṭa' with the Imam himself. In fact, his narration of al-Muwāṭṭa' is widely read even to this day, especially in the Indian subcontinent.

Unlike his teacher and contemporary, Abū Yúsuf, Muhammad b. al-Ḥasan came from a family of relative wealth and comfort. Born in Wasit, Iraq, and raised in Kufa, he spent much of his time studying the Arabic language and poetry, until the day he attended one of Abū Ḥanifah's gatherings; he thereafter turned his attention towards jurisprudence and the Qur'an. When Ahmad b. Ḥanbal was asked, "From whence did you acquire these legal subtleties?" he replied, "From the books of Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan." A prodigious writer, Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan authored works on the shari'ah and the hadith sciences. Though he achieved the degree of mujāhīd, he chose to remain within the school of his teacher, Abū Ḥanifah. His juristic skills were such that when Abū Yúsuf died, the Abbasid caliph, al-Rashīd (d. 193/809), appointed Muhammad b. al-Ḥasan chief judge (qādī al-quḍāh).

He also became a great scholar of Qur'anic exegesis, hadith, and literature, and his early education in Arabic language and poetry proved beneficial. His student, al-Shāfiʿī, stated, "If I wished to say that the Qur'an was revealed in the language of Muḥammad b. al-Ḥasan, I could say so, due to his literary eloquence."

Al-Shāfiʿī also said, "I have not come across a man more learned in the Qur'an than Imam Muḥammad," and attributed much of what he learned to his studies with the Ḥanafi Imam. He died in al-Rayy, in modern-day Iran, in 189/804. May God have mercy on him and fill his grave with light.
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Understanding Jihad

The word “jihad” means “struggle” or “striving” in the broadest sense, but in the context of The Creed of Imam al-Tahawi, it connotes an armed struggle, either defensively for self-preservation or offensively for the removal of oppression. The triliteral stem of “jihad” is j-h-d, meaning “to struggle, exert effort.” This meaning is at the core of the concept of jihad. According to al-Jurjani (d. 816/1413) in his Ta’rijat, jihad is “inviting to the truth” (al-du’ā’ ila al-ḥaqīq). This is confirmed in the Prophet’s statement ﷺ, “The greatest jihad is speaking truth to unjust power.”

In Islamic discourse, there are two types of jihad, the lesser and the greater. The lesser jihad entails calling others to the truth and defending oneself from those who oppose that call; it also means using state-sanctioned martial forces to move from a condition of disequilibrium to one of harmony and balance. The greater jihad is the internal struggle with one’s own self in opposing its appetites and impulses until it is in submission to God.

Jurists almost always use the word “jihad” to refer to armed struggle. However, the verb form of the word “jihad” (jāḥada) is used in early Meccan chapters of the Qur’an before God sanctioned military action. In this earlier usage, the word is usually associated with aspects of the above-mentioned greater jihad. An understanding of varying levels and types of jihads has been one of the outstanding features of traditional Islamic exegetical and theological discourse, contrary to the monolithic usage that came to prevail in legal circles. For example, commenting on the verse, and that you struggle (jūḥidtina) in the way of God with your possessions and your lives (Qur’an
Jihad, after these two basic divisions (i.e., the greater and lesser jihads), is of three types: a person vis à vis his own self, which involves suppressing his ego and denying it its destructive lusts and appetites; a person vis à vis the generality of humanity, which involves not craving their possessions, and it involves being compassionate and merciful with them; and finally, a person vis à vis the world, which involves taking it as a provision for the Hereafter. Hence, there are five types of jihad.⁷⁹

According to three of the four juristic schools of Sunni law (namely the Mālikī, Ḥanafī, and Ḥanbalī schools), the legal rationale for the external or lesser jihad is the removal of belligerence and not the removal of disbelief. In his Fatāwā, Ibn Taymiyyah provides the following explanation:

Killing is permitted in sacred law only for a greater benefit, indicated by the Qur'anic verse, Persecution is worse than killing (2:217). That is, killing may be used, if necessary, to stop persecution. For this reason, non-combatants are not to be killed in jihad nor any whose disbelief is limited to themselves. Only when their disbelief affects others harmfully is opposing them sanctioned.⁸⁰

In summary, jihad is not a holy war; in fact, war, which is a negative term in the Qur'ān, is never described as holy in either the Qur'ān or the prophetic traditions. Rather, jihad is a struggle, sometimes involving arms, in deference and preservation of the holy. In its martial form, it is not so much a just war as a justified military response to unjust conditions.

One of the most eminent living scholars of shariah, Shaykh Abdullah bin Bayyah, writes the following regarding jihad:

There are three types of jihad: fighting outward aggressors, opposing Satanic insinuations, and opposing the lower self. The last two meanings are according to various hadith, such as one related by Imam Ahmad in his Musnad and Abū Dāwūd in his Sunan on the authority of Fudālāh b. 'Ubayd Allāh: the Prophet ﷺ said, “The real warrior (muḥājīdh) is the one who fights (jihād) his own soul by obeying God’s commands.”⁸¹ This is a strong (ḥasan) hadith. According to a weak (daʿīf) hadith that is related by Imam al-Bayhaqī (d. 458/1066) on the authority of Jābir (d. 74/693), the Prophet ﷺ said while returning from Tabuk (9/630), “We have come from the lesser jihad to the greater jihad.”⁸² Scholars interpreted the greater jihad to mean the struggle against one’s caprices and unhealthy desires, as well as fulfilling one’s obligations of filial piety and parental service. According to a well-known hadith, the Prophet ﷺ said to a man who requested permission to enter the army, “Struggle by serving your parents (fuṭhīmā fu jihādī).”⁸³

The other meaning of jihad, martial struggle, is the one most commonly used, and it denotes opposing belligerent non-Muslims through military campaigns and war. There are many Qur’ānic verses and hadith concerning jihad and its virtues, conditions, principles, and rules. It has a long history of practice between Muslims and their enemies and its reverberations still echo across time, to the present day. It is a subject of give and take, excess and neglect, moderation and extremes. Indeed, how many people have justified aggression, unjust wars, and worldly aspirations by invoking jihad? How many others have neglected it and reneged on their civic responsibilities in defending the lands of the Muslims from foreign aggression? How many movements devoid of the ethical and virtuous principles of jihad have defamed and tainted the honor of Islam and caused Muslims untold harm and difficulties? How many biased critics have attacked Islam, claiming that jihad has no justification, and that it simply inflicts continuous warfare and aggression against innocent non-Muslims? Examples of this abound in Orientalist writings.

But the truth is that jihad is not always synonymous with military action. Rather, it has a much broader connotation that refers to the defense of the truth and an invitation to that truth with the tongue, and this is the meaning of the verse in the Qur’ānic chapter “the Criterion,” And struggle against them with it (wa jihādhum bihi jihādan

⁷⁹

⁸⁰

⁸¹

⁸²

⁸³
kabratn (25:52)]. The pronoun “it” refers to the Generous Qur’an; hence, this verse commands Muslims to use Qur’anic proofs and arguments to oppose those who reject faith. Obviously, recitation of the Qur’an requires no use of weapons or military operations. Therefore, not every jihad involves the military. Nor is every military action by Muslims considered jihad.

Ibn Khaldūn in his Prolegomenon (al-Muqaddimah) divided wars into four types based upon their rationales. He wrote,

Wars have always occurred in the world since God created it. The origin of war is the desire of certain human beings to take revenge on others, each party supported by people sharing its esprit de corps. When they have sufficiently excited each other for the purpose, and the two parties confront each other, one seeking revenge and the other trying to defend itself, there is war. It is something natural among human beings. No nation and no generation are free from it. The reason for revenge is, as a rule, either jealousy and envy, or aggression and hostility, or zeal on behalf of God and religion, or zeal on behalf of sovereign authority and the effort to maintain government. The first kind of war usually occurs between neighboring tribes and competing families. The second kind, which is a war of aggression and hostility, usually occurs among savage nations in less civilized areas. Such people earn their livelihood with their lances and swords, and acquire their sustenance by depriving others of their possessions. They declare war against anyone who defends their property against them. They have no desire for rank and authority, only for depriving others of their possessions. The third [kind of war] is religious, and the sacred law refers to it as “jihad.” The fourth is a war in defense of the sovereign against those who refuse to obey the state.

These are the four kinds of war. The first two are unjust, and the second two just. War in Islam is understood to be defensive, and it is never to coerce people into the religion.84

Ibn Taymiyyah said, “Islamic warfare is always defensive, because the basis of relationships with the non-Muslims is peaceful coexistence (musallamah); if one reflects deeply on the causes of the Prophet’s military expeditions, one will find that all of them were of this type.”85

Appendix C

Biographies of the Ten Companions Promised Paradise

Abū Bakr
Abū Bakr al-Śiddiq, also known as ‘Aṭīq b. Abī Quḥafah, Shaykh al-Islām, and ‘Abd Allāh b. Uthmān b. ‘Amir al-Qurayshī al-Tayyīmī (d. 13/634)

Abū Bakr  is the first adult male who accepted the call of the Prophet Muhammad  , the first of the Prophet’s companions to be promised Paradise, and the first of the Rightly-Guided Caliphs (al-khulafā’ al-rāshidūn).

Abū Bakr  was the Prophet’s closest companion, and when the Prophet  received divine sanction to emigrate from Mecca to Medina, the two traveled together. On their way to Medina, they took shelter in a cave; a Qur’anic verse mentions this incident and makes reference to Abū Bakr: The second of two when the two were in the cave, and he [the Prophet ] said unto his companion [Abū Bakr ], “Grieve not; surely God is with us” (9:40). Abū Bakr  is referred to in the Qur’an more than once, though not by name, and it is the consensus of Muslim scholars that he is the greatest of the Prophet’s followers. In fact, he and the Prophet  were closely related, as the Prophet  was married to Abū Bakr’s daughter, ’A’ishah  .

Abū Bakr’s strength of faith and utter devotion to the Prophet  were exemplified in his immediate affirmation of the Prophet’s Night Journey (al-islām) from Mecca to al-Masjid al-Aqṣā in Jerusalem and his subsequent Ascension (al-mi’rāj) through the heavens. The morning after this Ascension, when the Quraysh chieftains asked Abū Bakr  about this seemingly implausible journey that the Prophet  said he undertook, Abū Bakr  replied, “If he said it,
then it must be true.*** Because of Abū Bakr’s unwavering belief, the Messenger of God ﷺ gave him the epithet “the Veracious” (al-Ṣiddiq).

After the Prophet’s death, Abū Bakr’s steadfastness, leadership, and devotion served him well. He averted a catastrophe by reminding the Prophet’s companions, including ‘Umar ﷺ, “Whoever worshipped Muhammad, know that Muhammad has died, and whoever worships God, know that God is alive and will never die.”*** Abū Bakr’s strength of conviction as well as his position as leader of seventy-five prayers in the Prophet’s two-week absence led the companions to unanimously confer upon him the first caliphate. Almost immediately after Abū Bakr became caliph, he had to contend with many of the Bedouin tribes who refused to pay zakat; he fought them in what came to be known as the “Wars of Apostasy” (hurūb al-niddah) until they complied. He also put down several revolts by various Arab tribes that had begun following false prophets, such as Musaylamah “the Liar.” Also, it was under Abū Bakr’s caliphate that Islam spread to the lands of Syria and Iraq.

Among Abū Bakr’s many achievements was compiling the Qur’an into one complete text between two covers, called a mushaf. Until then, it had been written on various leaves, wood, animal skins, and pieces of bone. Because Abū Bakr’s death predated the dissemination and gathering of the hadith, only 142 prophetic sayings are narrated from him. Among the Prophet’s companions found in the asnād that trace back to Abū Bakr are: ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb (d. 23/644), Ibn Mas‘ūd (d. 33/653), ‘Uthmān b. ‘Affān (d. 35/656), ‘Alī b. Abī Tālib (d. 40/661), ‘A‘ishah (d. 59/679), Abū Hurayrah (d. 59/679), ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Amr (d. 63/682), ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Abbās (d. 68/687), ‘Abd Allāh b. ‘Umar (d. 73/693), Jābir b. ‘Abd Allāh (d. 74/693), ‘Abd Allāh b. al-Zubayr (d. 75/694), and Anas (d. 91/709).***

Abū Bakr was also a great scholar of Qurashī genealogy and was known for his ability to interpret dreams. About him, the Prophet said, “If the faith of the entire Muslim community (ummah) were put on one side of a scale, and the faith of Abū Bakr on the other side, the faith of Abū Bakr would outweigh it.” He remained the caliph for two years and four months before he passed away from illness; but before passing, he took the counsel of the senior companions of the Prophet and designated his successor, ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

**Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb**


A Staunch Enemy of Islam at first, ‘Umar embraced this religion after the Prophet supplicated God that Islam be strengthened by one of the two ‘Umar (aḥad al-‘Umāra). Soon after he accepted Islam at the Prophet’s hands, the companions enjoyed unprecedented liberties with their Meccan cohabitants, such as offering prayers publicly in the Sacred Precinct. The second of the ten companions promised Paradise, ‘Umar was also the second in closeness to the Prophet after Abū Bakr al-Ṣiddiq. The Prophet said about ‘Umar, “In the nations long before you were people who were spoken to by the angels, although they were not prophets. If there is any one of them in my community, truly it is ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭāb.”***

He is also referred to as al-Fārūq (the Discerner between Truth and Falsehood) because of his uncompromising justice and fairness. He was the second caliph, as he followed Abū Bakr’s caliphate, and was the first to bear the title “Commander of the Faithful” (amīr al-mu‘minīn). It was during ‘Umar’s caliphate that Islam spread as far west as the Atlas Mountains in northwest Africa and as far east as Persia (present-day Iran). He was tall, broad-shouldered, and fearless, and all of this evoked respect and awe from others.

In addition to his reputation as a skilled fighter, horseman, and leader, ‘Umar was also known for his compassion; it was his habit to roam the streets of Medina at night so as to personally feed and clothe the poor. Even after his successes on the battlefield and with the growing treasury, ‘Umar refused to temper his
ascetic ways. When he entered Jerusalem to accept the keys to the city, he did so on foot wearing tattered clothes. Among the policies ‘Umar initiated during his reign as caliph were the establishment of stipends for school teachers, public orators, imams, muezzins, and Jews and Christians who were poor.

Beyond his skills on the battlefield and his compassion, ‘Umar was also renowned for his spiritual and devotional nature. It is reported that while delivering the sermon during the Friday congregational prayer, ‘Umar’s spiritual insight allowed him to see a man named Sāriyah, who was on the battlefield at the time, as commander of an army in Nahawand. ‘Umar shouted three times, “Sāriyah, the mountain!” Later, a messenger of the army returned to inform ‘Umar that Sāriyah had heard his shout and understood the message, and that he and his group were victorious when they changed their battle position in relation to the mountain.99

‘Umar narrated 537 hadith from the Prophet, one of the most famous of which begins, “Verily, actions are judged by intentions.”100 Among the companions who narrated from him are ‘Ali, Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, Abu Hurayrah, and his son, ‘Abd Allāh. ‘Umar regularly made supplications asking for martyrdom and death in Medina, where the Prophet was buried, a seemingly inconceivable scenario given the strength of the Muslim armies and the stability of the Arabian Peninsula. Nonetheless, ‘Umar’s plea was granted; he was assassinated shortly after morning prayers in the Prophet’s mosque. ‘Umar died a martyr from a knife wound at the age of sixty-six. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

‘Uthmān b. ‘Affān

‘Uthmān’s generosity was legendary. He equipped the army heading for Tabuk with 950 camels and 50 horses, and then placed a thousand gold dinars in the Prophet’s lap, to which the Prophet responded, “Uthmān can do no wrong after today.”99 It is reported that ‘Uthmān said, “No Friday has passed me by since I accepted Islam in which I did not free a slave, unless I was prevented by debt; when I would free him after that.”94

During his caliphate, the lands of Armenia, Caucasus, Khurasan, Kirman, Sijistan, Cyprus, and much of North Africa came under Muslim control. His rule, like that of ‘Umar who preceded him, was brought to an end through murder. One night, ‘Uthmān saw a dream in which the Prophet told him, “Break your fast with us tomorrow.”95 ‘Uthmān fasted that day and was murdered by
several assailants who had crept into his house before sunset while he was reading the Qur'an on the last day of Dhul-Hijjah, thirty-five years after the Hijrah. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

‘Ali b. Abī Tālib

For most of his life, ‘Ali was perhaps the closest associate of the Prophet. A cousin of the Prophet who lived in his house from the age of five and was raised by the Prophet, ‘Ali later became his son-in-law. He is the fourth of the ten companions promised Paradise, and also the fourth and last of the Rightly-Guided Caliphs to succeed the Prophet in political rule over the community of Muslims.

‘Ali was the first male child to believe in Islam; he accepted Islam two days after the start of the Prophet’s mission, while still living in the Prophet’s house. According to most narrations, ‘Ali was ten years of age at the time, but some say he was younger than that. He was also the first to pray behind the Prophet. ‘Ali also had the special blessing of being the husband of Fātimah al-Zahra (d. 11/633), the Prophet’s daughter and one of the four women complete in faith. (Aṣiyah, the Pharaoh’s wife and caretaker of Moses; Maryam, the mother of Jesus; Khadijah, the first wife of the Prophet; and Fātimah, the daughter of the Prophet, are considered the four women complete in faith, according to a hadith in al-Bukhārī.) ‘Ali is also the father of the Prophet’s grandchildren, al-Hasan (d. 49/669) and al-Husayn (d. 61/680). In fact, the descendants of the Prophet are all from ‘Ali’s offspring.

‘Ali was a renowned swordsman and the Prophet’s standard-bearer in battle, including the Battle of Badr, the first after the Prophet immigrated to Medina. In addition to his battlefield skills, ‘Ali was a hāfiz of the Qur’an, an eloquent speaker, and an ocean of spiritual wisdom. ‘Ali was the most judicious of the Prophet’s companions. Ibn Mas‘ūd said, “The most knowledgeable of the people of Medina in the laws of inheritance and in judicial decisions is ‘Ali b. Abī Tālib.” The Prophet had a profound love for him and praised him on various occasions. For example, the Prophet said, “I am the city of knowledge, and ‘Ali is its gate.”

‘Ali lived an austere lifestyle, refusing even to accept a stipend from the treasury during his caliphate. It is said that he preferred only the coarsest of food and clothing. His eloquence was unsurpassed; in fact, a poetic litany is attributed to him, and many sayings of ‘Ali were transmitted and recorded. For example, he said, “There is no virtue in worship that is devoid of knowledge, or in knowledge that is devoid of comprehension, or in recitation [of the Qur’an] that is devoid of reflection.” He also said, “May your happiness lie with what you have harvested for your afterlife, and may your sorrow lie with what has passed you by of it, and do not put too much stake in that which you have harvested from this life, and feel no sorrow for that which has passed you by from this life, and let your attention be for that which is after death.” Another one of his sayings is, “The breaths that a person takes are footsteps conveying him to his death.” He also said, “The world and the hereafter are two conflicting enemies and two divergent paths. One who loves and befriends the world hates the hereafter and has enmity towards it. The world and the hereafter are like the east and the west, and the traveler is between them. To the extent that he draws close to one, he distances himself from the other.”

Among the 536 hadith that ‘Ali transmitted was that the Prophet once left him behind in the campaign of Tabuk, and Ali said, “O Messenger of God! Are you leaving me behind with the women and children?” The Prophet replied, “Is it not pleasing to you that you are to me as Hárrūn was to Miṣa‘a, except that there is no prophet after me?” According to Umm Salamah, the Prophet said, “Whoever loves ‘Ali loves me, and whoever hates ‘Ali hates me, and whoever hates me hates God.” Al-Suyūṭī narrates an incident wherein ‘Ali took a Jewish man to court for stealing his coat of
armor. The judge ruled in the latter's favor, since ‘Ali was not able to provide ample witnesses as to his ownership. The Jewish man was humbled by this experience and proclaimed, “The Commander of the Faithful brought me before his qadi, and his qadi judged against him. I witness that this is the truth, and I witness that there is no deity but God, and I witness that Muhammad is the Messenger of God, and that the armor is your armor.”

When ‘Ali became the caliph after the assassination of ‘Uthmān, he moved the capital of the caliphate from Medina to Kufa and for five years patiently bore civil strife and dissension, until he was assassinated by a member of the Khawārij (one of the most extreme factions to secede from the People of the Prophetic Way and the Majority of Scholars), who declared ‘Ali a disbeliever in Islam and refused to recognize his authority as caliph. Forty years after the Hijrah of the Prophet, in Ramadan, ‘Ali was stabbed in the mosque shortly after morning prayers. He died two days later. His last words were, “There is no deity but God; Muhammad is the Messenger of God.” May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

Talhah b. ‘Ubayd Allāh
Talhah b. ‘Ubayd Allāh b. ‘Uthmān, also known as Abū Muḥammad al-Tamīmi al-Qurashi (d. 36/656)

Talhah was introduced to Islam through his friend, Abū Bakr, and became one of the first eight men who accepted Islam. He is the fifth companion promised Paradise. Talhah was perhaps the most loyal and unwavering associate of the Prophet on the battlefield. He accompanied the Prophet in the Battle of Uhud when the Muslim army was in disarray, and swore to stay by his side even if it meant death. He survived the battle with seventy-five wounds while staunchly defending the Prophet. Talhah sacrificed himself so valiantly on that day that the Prophet used to say, “Whoever wants to see a martyr walking on two feet, look at Talhah bin ‘Ubayd Allāh.”

The only battle he missed was that of Badr, as he and Sa‘īd b. Zayd had been sent by the Prophet on a reconnaissance mission and were unaware that the battle had taken place.

Talhah’s gallantry was matched by his generosity. He often paid off the debts of his clansmen from the money he made from his extensive trade dealings in Iraq. One day, his wife found him in a disagreeable mood; when she found out that some monetary holdings were troubling him, she advised him to distribute some to his clan. Talhah distributed all four hundred thousand silver dirhams that he had.

Talhah was killed during the Battle of the Camel in 36/656 and was buried in Basra, although he was not there to fight. It is reported that a stray arrow penetrated his chest, upon which he said, “In the Name of God, and God’s decree must come to pass.” May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

Al-Zubayr b. al-‘Awwām
Al-Zubayr b. al-‘Awwām b. Khawālid, also known as Abū ‘Abd Allah al-‘Asād al-Qurashi (d. 36/656)

AL-ZUBAYR, a cousin of the Prophet and the sixth companion to be promised Paradise, was one of the early Muslims who immigrated twice, first to Ethiopia and later to Medina. He was the first to draw a sword for Islam: when he heard in Mecca that the Prophet had been killed, he rushed out of his house with nothing but his drawn sword. To his surprise, he came across the Prophet, who inquired about his mission, and al-Zubayr explained what he had heard and said he intended to fight all of Mecca. The Prophet then supplicated on his behalf.

Al-Zubayr was one of the first and youngest companions to accept Islam, and did so at the age of eight. His father tried to coerce and cajole him to reject the faith, but he remained steadfast, stating, “I will never return to disbelief.” Al-Zubayr fought in the service of Islam; his chest was riddled with scars from the wounds he had received in the many battles in which he had participated, including
Badr and Uhud. Accounts of these battles frequently mention al-Zubayr alongside ‘Ali and other prominent companions of the Prophet, fighting and serving the Prophet tirelessly.

Al-Zubayr was endowed with considerable wealth, which he did not hesitate to give in charity or in the service of Islam. His great stature and commitment to Islam continued after the death of the Prophet. ‘Umar considered him to be one of those who was qualified to be caliph after him and entrusted al-Zubayr to decide the fate of the caliphate after his death. Al-Zubayr did not fight on the day of the Battle of the Camel, refusing to take sides, and turned to leave, but Ibn Jarmuz killed him near Basra. Al-Zubayr died thirty-six years after the Hijrah. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

Sa‘îd b. Abî Waqqâs
Sa‘îd b. Abî Waqqâs Malik b. Wuhayb b. ‘Abî Manâf, also known as Abû Ishâq al-Zuhîr al-Qurashi (d. 556/675)

The Seventh Companion to be promised a place in Paradise, Sa‘îd was one of the first people of Mecca to accept Islam, having done so when he was only seventeen years of age.

Sa‘îd explained that the following Qur’anic verse referred to him: And if they [your parents] coerce you to associate with Me those you do not know, then do not obey them (29:8). He said, “[My mother] said, ‘Has not God commanded righteousness? I swear by God that I will not eat or drink until I die or you renounce Islam.’” However, seeing Sa‘îd resolve in persisting in his new faith, she eventually ate.

His steadfast devotion to the service of Islam carried onto the battlefield. Sa‘îd was the first to cast an arrow in the Battle of Badr, and the Prophet supplicated for him, “O Lord, direct his shooting and respond to his prayer.” Sa‘îd also participated in the rest of the major battles of the Prophet.

Sa‘îd was blessed with wealth and was very generous. Once when he fell ill, he asked the Prophet if he should leave two-thirds of his wealth to charity and the remainder to his daughter.
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The Prophet instructed him to leave two-thirds to his daughter and the remainder to charity, as leaving his heirs with provision was better than leaving them in poverty.

After the death of the Prophet, Sa‘îd served as a provincial governor under both ‘Umar and ‘Uthmân, and led the armies that facilitated the entrance of Iraq and the cities of Persia into the Muslim domain. He was governor of Kufa for a time and then returned to Medina. Later in life, he lost his eyesight, and then died at home near Medina. He was buried in the same shrouds that he wore on the day of Badr, as he had requested. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

Sa‘îd b. Zayd
Sa‘îd b. Zayd b. ‘Amr b. Mufayil (d. 50/667)

The Eighth Companion whom the Prophet said would undoubtedly attain Paradise was Sa‘îd, who accepted Islam early in the Meccan period, before he had reached the age of twenty.

His father, Zayd b. ‘Amr b. Mufayil, was among those who rejected the idolatry of the pagan Arabs and who traveled in search of the knowledge of the true Abrahamic faith that the Arabs had lost. He also used to rescue infant girls marked for death by burial, a crude pre-Islamic pagan practice among some Arabs. Although he met the Prophet, Zayd died prior to Muhammad’s advent of prophethood; however, the Prophet nonetheless later confirmed his salvation, stating, “He will come on the Day of Resurrection as a nation unto himself.”

Raised in a house that rejected polytheism and idolatry, Sa‘îd married Fâṭimah, the sister of ‘Umar b. al-Khaṭṭâb, and both accepted Islam, concealing their new faith from the pagan Meccans, especially from ‘Umar. It was in the house of Sa‘îd and Fâṭimah that ‘Umar, who had set out to kill the Prophet, experienced his transformation upon reading a passage of the Qur’an.

Sa‘îd participated in all of the Prophet’s battles except for Badr; he missed it because he was on a reconnaissance mission with
he was wounded so severely that he walked with a limp thereafter. He was buried in the Baqi' cemetery in Medina. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

Abū 'Ubaydah b. al-Jarrāh

'Amir b. 'Abd Allāh b. al-Jarrāh, better known as Abū 'Ubaydah b. al-Jarrāh (d. 18/640)

Like most of those among the ten promised Paradise, Abū 'Ubaydah was one of the first to accept Islam and among the few who memorized the entire Qur'an and participated in the immigrations to Ethiopia and Medina. The Prophet designated him "Trustee of the Muslim Community" (āmin al-ummah) because of his meticulous honesty.

Abū 'Ubaydah persevered under the persecution that the early Muslims suffered, even when it came from his own family. He fought fearlessly in the Battle of Badr, and was compelled to combat his own father, which he did with great difficulty. He was also among those who guarded the Prophet during the chaos of the Battle of Uhud. On that day, when the Prophet was severely injured by shards of his shield that became lodged in his noble face, Abū 'Ubaydah determined that using his teeth would be the best way to extract the shards, as that would cause the least pain to the Prophet; Abū 'Ubaydah lost two of his teeth in the process.

Both in his personal life and on the battlefield, Abū 'Ubaydah always placed service to Islam far above his own interests. On one occasion, the Prophet appointed him to lead an army sent to reinforce another army led by 'Amr b. al-Āsh (d. 42/663), which included senior companions such as Abū Bakr and 'Umar. When Abū 'Ubaydah and his army arrived, 'Amr asserted his leadership over both armies. To avoid wrangling over leadership, Abū 'Ubaydah relinquished control of his army to 'Amr.

An indication of how much Abū 'Ubaydah was revered by those around him is this reported incident: 'Umar b. al-Khattāb asked some of his companions, "What do you wish for?" The first
stated that he wished for a house full of gold that he may spend in God’s cause, and a second man said he wished for a house full of pearls and gems that he may spend in God’s cause and in charity. 'Umar & then said, “I wish that this house was full of men like Abu ‘Ubaydah b. al-Jarrah.”\(^{308}\)

During the caliphate of 'Umar & Abu 'Ubaydah & led the Muslim army to many victories in Syria. After much of the area came under Muslim control, Syria was stricken by plague. Abu ‘Ubaydah & remained faithfully with his troops to suffer whatever they might suffer, and he eventually died from the plague. Abu ‘Ubaydah & passed away during the caliphate of 'Umar b. al-Khattab &. May God be pleased with him and fill his grave with light.

The biographies in Appendices A and C were compiled by Waleed Mossad and Harun Spevak using the following sources:
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3. Ibid.
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NOTES TO THE INTRODUCTION
7. Ibid., 1.
8. Ibid., 3.
9. Ibid., 5.
10. Ibid., 9.
12. The resemblance of these early Khawārīj to the contemporary phenomenon of the neo-Khawārīj is startling. Since the time of the Khawārīj, many Muslim sects have appeared, declaring war on other Muslims who do not agree with them. Their cry is "No decision but God's" (lā hukma illa l-lāh), arrogating to themselves the knowledge of God's decision in any given situation. Many of them contend that since Muslim governments do not rule by Islam, they are in apostasy.
and their blood is permissible. This contradicts obvious principles of Islam, and the behavior of the great scholars has been otherwise ever since the beginning of Islam.

13. Although this area has been grossly neglected throughout the history of Western science, an intriguing account of the kalām atomic theory is presented in Bernard Pullman's *The Atom in the History of Human Thought*. He admits that Islam was the first to proclaim that faith in a unique God, Master of the Universe, is entirely compatible with an atomic theory that grounds human understanding of the mechanics of the world in speculative science and not revelation. See Bernard Pullman, *The Atom in the History of Human Thought* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998), 114.

14. The attributes of God, according to the Ash'ārī school, are twenty and identify the necessary qualities of divinity, without which the understanding of divine perfection would be incomplete. They are the existential attribute of being and the five negating attributes of pre-eternity; perpetuity; independence; dissimilarity; and unity of acts, attributes, and essence. Added to these are the seven attributes of omniscience, hearing, seeing, speaking, life, omnipotence, and volition. The active participles were included to reach twenty necessary attributes of God. The Mu'tazilah claimed that God was the Omniscient without the attribute of knowledge, the Omnipotent without the attribute of power added to His essence, etc. This led the Sunni scholars to accuse the Mu'tazilah of "denying God's attributes" and to charge them with heresy. Abū Hāshim developed the theory of states to explain this seeming contradistinction from the Sunni affirmation of the attributes. For a more complete exploration of the subject, see Richard Frank's extraordinary study of the subject in *Being and Their Attributes: The Teaching of the Basrī School of the Mu'tazila in the Classical Period* (Albany: State University of New York Press, 1978).

15. This second principle proved to be the weak link. Abū al-Hasan al-Ash'ārī exposed the flaw in this argument by asking about a child who dies. What would be the child's ultimate destination? He argues that if the child went to Paradise, he did so on no merit of his own, and hence unjustly; likewise, if the child went to Hell, he did so unjustly.

16. The uncreatedness of the Qurʾān was never discussed by the Prophet or his companions. The debate of the Qurʾān being created or uncreated was introduced by the Mu'tazilah; they concluded that the Qurʾān must be created, and their position, in turn, forced the Sunnis to respond. Fifty years later, the complete doctrinal formulation of the uncreatedness of the Qurʾān was articulated by Imam al-Asbā'ī, and those who maintained otherwise were effectively silenced.

NOTES TO THE AUTHOR'S BIOGRAPHY


18. Ibid., 72.

19. The second Abū Ja'far is Ahmad b. Abī 'Imrān Abū Ja'far al-Ḥanāfī, the judge.


22. Ibid.


24. Ibid.

25. Ibid., 177.

26. Ibid.

27. Ibid.

28. One of the positive influences of Hellenistic thought upon Islam was the application of Aristotelian structure to the sciences of Islam. While it can be argued that Imam Mālik began the process with his ordering of the material in al-Muwaṭṭa before Greek influence was widespread, the Greek influence is palpable and in this area in particular, highly beneficial. Imam al-Ṭāhāwī wrote at a time when the Hellenistic influences had not yet permeated the Islamic scholastic tradition. Hence, his text is less organized than later texts, and this is noted as a weakness in the overall structure. To remedy this, we have placed topic icons alongside the Arabic text.

30. The “infallible hadith” are the multiply-transmitted (muwaqqit) hadith and are equivalent to factual statements, such as the multiply-transmitted historical fact that the Normans invaded England in 1066 CE. Alternatively, solitary hadith fall into different categories based upon their degree of authenticity. A sound solitary hadith is considered an accurate statement of the Prophet Muhammad (s) but allows the unlikely possibility of an error in the chain of transmission due to the small number of transmitters and human fallibility.


33. Ibid.


35. al-Kawtharī, al-Ḥādīth.

36. Ibid.

37. People of the Prophetic Way and the Majority of Scholars (ahl al-sunnah wa al-jamā‘ah) refers to Sunni Muslims, the overwhelming majority of Muslims in the world. Used to designate correct belief and practice, this title emerged as a response to the sectarianism of the first and second centuries of Muslim history.

38. Providence (taufiq) refers to God’s support in human actions and endeavors.

39. Without exception, God’s misguidance of people is in response to their rebellion. In each of the thirty-one Qur’anic verses which mentions that God misguides people, the Qur’an either explicitly states that He misguides transgressors, the unjust, those who belie His signs, and so on, or the preceding verses clearly refer to such people. (For example, God has sealed their hearts and their hearing, and over their eyes is a veil; and horrendous suffering awaits them in 2:7)

NOTES TO THE TRANSLATION OF THE CREED OF IMAM AL-TAHĀWI

40. Jinn are a species of the unseen realm, concealed from humans, though humans are not concealed from them. The word “jinn” comes from an Arabic root meaning “to conceal.” The English word “genie” is from the Arabic jinn. Sapient creatures created of a smokeless fire, the jinn share free will with humans and thus the capacity for goodness and evil. Iblīs (Satan), often mistaken to be a fallen angel, belongs to the jinn species. He became the adversary to humanity after Adam’s creation and expulsion from the Garden of Eden. According to Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 606/1210), the jinn inhabited Earth before men and caused great disruption and violence, shedding blood and sowing corruption, and Iblīs was sent down from the divine presence to subdue them. Upon his return to the Highest Assembly, Iblīs found that a new vicegerent of God named Adam was to be placed on Earth. The angels inquired as to why a man would be placed on Earth. Since man, like the jinn, was given free will, the angels’ concern was that man would also sow corruption and shed blood. Angels, on the other hand, only praise God and cannot disobey Him, which is why Satan could not have been a “fallen angel.” God’s response was that He knew what the angels did not know. When the angels and those in the divine presence were commanded to bow in respect to this new creature, Adam (as), whom God had honored and endowed with knowledge, all obeyed, except Iblīs, who refused out of arrogance. Iblīs felt he was made of superior elements than Adam (as), since jinn were made from fire and air, whereas Adam (as) was made of water and earth. This arrogance brought about Iblīs’ downfall. By allowing his pride, haughtiness, and envy to overcome his soul, Iblīs lost his place as the highest and most exalted of those who had knowledge of God. After being granted respite, Iblīs vowed that he would lead astray all humans, but God guaranteed that he would not be able to sway the righteous. Swearing to lie in ambush on the straight path, and to come to humans from the front and behind, and from the right and the left, Iblīs declared that God would find few humans grateful
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(Qur’an 7:12-17). Ibn al-Hajj (d. 736/1336) comments that the two directions Satan did not mention relate to the vertical plane, above and below. This is because if man is in harmony with the vertical plane—“as above so below”—then Iblis has no power over him.

41. “Blasphemy” is a verbal assault on the sacred. While the Arabic here is qafara, which normally would be glossed as “disbelieved,” a more fitting word is the Catholic term “blasphemed.” Thomas Aquinas viewed blasphemy like heresy, as species of disbelief. This is closer to the meaning here, as the term kufr in Islamic theology involves several species of disbelief. The Anthropomorphists (al-mujassimah) referred to here believe in the Qur’an and Sunnah but still fall into a category of kufr.

42. The Beatitude Vision (al-rū‘ayah) is the greatest bounty of the next life, whereby the inhabitants of Paradise will gaze upon the countenance of God without any veil. Likewise, the worst torment of the next life is being veiled from the countenance of God (Qur’an 75:22-23, 85:13). The word “modality” here refers to the form in which something is understood, the way in which a quality is or can be possessed by a thing, as in, “Green is a mode of the quality of color.” Thus, the Beatific Vision is a vision, the mode of which is incomprehensible. In his commentary on al-Ṭahāwī’s Creed, ʿAbd al-Qahhāf al-Maydānī (d. 1398/1978) writes, “The vision of the transcendent holy essence of God, the Sublime and Exalted, without encirclement or direction is true and real for the People of Paradise. However, this vision is not with dimensions or limits, due to God’s transcendence beyond finiteness, descriptions, limits, and containment. It is a-modal (bi-lā kāf) in its nature and has no direction, distance, description, or light rays connecting the seer and the seen, nor any distance between the one gazing and the object of his gaze, for all of that is related to the vision of bodies and substances, and God, the Sublime and Exalted, is not a body contained in dimensions. Therefore, envisioning God, the Sublime and Exalted, cannot be likened to gazing upon a body. Vision is in accordance with what is being seen” (Sharḥ al-ʿaqīdah al-Ṭahāwīyyah al-musammāt bayān al-sunnah wa al-jamā‘ah [Damascus: Dar al-Fikr, 1997], 71).

43. The “hadith” statements attributed to the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ by which his Sunnah is known (see “Sunnah,” note 60). Hadith are considered an authoritative source of legislation and constitute a major source of guidance for Muslims, second only to the Qur’an.

44. The Ascension (al-mi‘rāj) is the second part of the Prophet’s Night Journey known as liyālat al-ilsā‘ wa al-mi‘rāj. The isrā’ refers to the first part of his Night Journey, in which Angel Gabriel ﷺ accompanied the Prophet ﷺ from the Sacred Mosque in Mecca to Jerusalem, as he rode upon a wondrous beast called al-Burāq. In Jerusalem, the Prophet ﷺ led in prayer all the previous prophets ﷺ. The Ascension refers to the second part of the Prophet’s Night Journey: his ascent from the Sanctuary in Jerusalem up to the heavenly realm. Grammatically, the word al-mi‘rāj is a noun of instrument, which is a noun used to describe the means by which one does the verbal root's actions. In this case, the verbal root is ‘urj, which denotes “ascension.” Morphologically, mi‘rāj can mean “a ladder” or “a means of ascent.” The Prophet ﷺ rode through the seven heavens upon al-Burāq, whose single stride extended from one horizon to the next. In the seventh heaven, the Prophet ﷺ reached the Lot Tree of the furthest limit. (In Asian traditions, the Lot Tree specifically refers to the point where rational thought ends and the super-rational realities emerge.) At this point, the Archangel Gabriel, who had acted as his guide thus far on this journey, left him, and the Prophet ﷺ entered into the divine presence, that of his Lord. Here, the Prophet ﷺ greeted his Lord, and Muslims recite those salutations in their daily prayers. Indeed, during the Ascension, the Prophet ﷺ received the command for the Muslims to offer fifty prayers daily. However, before the Prophet ﷺ returned from this journey, the obligation was reduced to only five daily prayers, at the behest of Moses ﷺ that the Prophet ﷺ intercede with his Lord to bring ease for the community of believers.

45. The Pool (al-ḥawād) is a vast gift of God for the Prophet ﷺ in Paradise, from which the Prophet ﷺ, with his noble hands, will give drink to his followers on the Day of Standing. It was described by the Prophet ﷺ as extending beyond the distance between Sanaa in Yemen and Aylah (a bygone city off the Red Sea coast, east of the Sinai Peninsula, near the Gulf of Aqabah, and south of Gaza). It is the fountain of Kawthar, whose water is white like milk, whose fragrance is akin to musk, and whose goblets outnumber the stars. Whoever drinks
from it will never thirst thereafter (al-Bukhārī [d. 256/870], on the authority of 'Abd Allah b. 'Amr [d. 63/682]).

46. The Intercession (al-khafī'ah) of the Prophet ﷺ is twofold: His first petitioning is for the entire human race, in order to relieve them of the momentous anxiety of the first stage of the Day of Judgment. His second Intercession is for the disobedient among his community; he will pray, asking God to treat His servants mercifully. God promised the Prophet ﷺ that his prayer will be granted due to his praiseworthy station (masām maḥmūd [Qur'an 7:179]).

47. The covenant refers to the primordial exchange between God and all human souls: After God created all the souls, He gathered them and asked, Am I not your Lord? All souls replied, Yes, we have testified (Qur'an 7:172). Hence, all humans testified their belief in God before their souls were placed into their bodies.

48. A hadith narrated by al-Bukhārī.

49. All actions are judged by a person's inward state during his or her last act. That is, one who dies submitting to God as one's final action will have the cumulation of his or her acts judged in accordance with that belief. Likewise, if one's "final assertive act" is of disbelief, this will result in all of his or her previous actions being judged as disbelief. For this reason, God commands, O believers! Be conscious of God with due reverence, and do not die without having surrendered to God (3:102).

50. The Pen and the Tablet (al-qalam wa al-lawh) are among the first of God's creations. The sound hadith in Imam al-Tirmidhi's Jāmi' states, 'The first thing that God created was the Pen, and He said to it, 'Write!' The Pen replied, 'What shall I write?' And God said, 'Write the foreordained (qadar).' And so it wrote what had been and what will be for all eternity.'

The statement, "The first thing that God created" implies that the Pen was the very first of God's creation. However, another hadith with a stronger chain that Ibn 'Umar narrated states, "God decreed all foreordained matters before He created the heavens and the earth by fifty thousand years and (fā) His Throne was upon water." According to Abū Ya'łā al-Hamadānī, the Throne preceded the Pen because the decree follows immediately after the creation of the Pen, given that the particle fā is used in the hadith. Furthermore, the aforementioned hadith clearly states that God's Throne was upon water at the time. This is also supported by the fact that the Pen wrote "what had been," implying that there was something before the Pen. In addition, Imam Ismā'il al-'Ajlūnī (d. 1162/1749) states, "The primacy of the Pen's creation is only in relation to the already existing, prophetic Muhammadan light, the water, and the Throne. And, some say that this primacy is creation is only in relation to the species itself—i.e., the first light created was the light of Muhammad and so on." (Kashf al-khafī'ah wa musīl al-ulbās 'an mā istahār min al-ahādith 'alā alsināt al-nās (Beirut: Iḥyā' al-Turağ al-'Arabī, 1932), 1265-66).

The hadith that is related by Jābir in the Musannaf of al-Hāfiz Abū Bakr 'Abd al-Razzāq b. Hammām al-San'ānī and considered sound by recent scholarship indicates that the very first of God's creation was the light of the Prophet ﷺ. According to the hadith, Jābir b. 'Abd Allāh asked the Prophet ﷺ, "What is the first thing that God created?" To this, the Prophet ﷺ replied, "O Jābir! The first thing God, the Sublime and Exalted, created was the light of your Prophet from His Light, and that light remained in the midst of His power for as long as He wished, and there was not at that time a Tablet or a Pen or a Paradise or a Fire or an angel or a heaven or an earth. And when God, the Sublime and Exalted, wished to create creation, He divided that light into four parts, and from the first He made the Pen, from the second the Tablet, from the third the Throne, and from the fourth everything else." One intriguing point about this hadith is its concurrence with modern astrophysical theory, which states that all of the cosmos came from what is called the initial singularity in which all of existence was rolled up in a point of infinite density and temperature. From this initial light, which burst forth in what is known as the big bang, it split into four fundamental forces: the strong nuclear force, the electromagnetic force, weak interaction, and gravitation. The fourth part mentioned in the hadith from which all else was created may refer to gravitational forces, which cause attraction between the particles of matter, allowing the seen world to exist and be held together. And God knows best.

It is important to note that none of the hadith mentioned here achieve the status of infallible narrations and should not be points of

51. The ‘ārsh is the greatest of God’s creation and is above the kūrsh, which extends over the heavens and the earth (Qur’an 2:255). Abū Dharr al-Ghifārī (d. 32/653) is reported to have said, “The kūrsh, in relation to the ‘ārsh, is like an iron ring thrown out into empty land.” Some scholars have considered both terms to refer to the Throne of God, while others have drawn a distinction between them and placed the ‘ārsh above the kūrsh. Only God knows the reality and vastness of each. Ibn Mas‘ūd described thus: “Between the first heaven and the one above it is the distance of a five-hundred-year journey; between each of the seven heavens is the same distance of a five-hundred-year journey, respectively; likewise, the distance between the seventh heaven and the kūrsh is a journey of five hundred years; between the kūrsh and the water is the same, and the ‘ārsh is above the water…” (recorded by Ibn Khuzaymah [d. 311/923] and al-Bayhaqī [d. 456/1066]; also, see Qur’an 11:7).

52. There are two sound versions of this sentence. In one version, there is no conjunction, and it reads, “He encompasses everything, including what is beyond the Throne.” Thus, the referent is “His Throne” and not “Him.” The other version is rendered here. And God knows best.

53. According to the Qur’an, God took Abraham as a friend (4:125).

54. According to the Qur’an, God addressed Moses in words (42:64).


56. Scholars have understood the mention of al-rūḥ al-āmin in the Qur’an to mean the Angel Gabriel. For example, the Qur’an says, Verily, this is a revelation from the Lord of the worlds. The Trustworthy Spirit (al-rūḥ al-āmin) descended with it to your heart, that you may admonish (26:92-94).

57. The Khawārij held the view that anyone who sins has left the fold of Islam by definition, regardless of whether that sin was among the greater (kabīr) or lesser (ṣagḥāṣīr) sins.

58. The Murji‘iyah (Antinomians) made this claim.

59. I have chosen to translate kabīr (lit. enormity) as “mortal sin.” In classical Western theology, grave or capital sins are termed “mortal,” as they are sins capable of “killing the life of the soul” and leaving the sinner without sanctifying grace unless he repented. Three criteria are necessary for a sin to be mortal: the matter is grave; the perpetrator is completely aware of his actions; and he commits the sin fully consenting. In Islamic theology, a grave matter is one for which a specific punishment has been decreed or the warning of Hellfire is mentioned in relation to it. Mortal sins were juxtaposed with venial or lesser sins that could be easily forgiven. Therefore, this is a reasonably accurate gloss to the dual classification of sins in Islam known as greater (kabīr) and lesser (ṣagḥāṣīr) sins. Unfortunately, many of these excellent terms that exist in English to convey similar or identical Islamic concepts have been tainted by past history and current popular use. “Sin” today is almost entirely reduced to sexual misconduct and ignores the grave sins of economic oppression, such as usury, or the abuse of power, such as tyranny, which are of far greater severity and consequence.

60. The Sunnah is the normative practice of the Prophet Muhammad. It is derived from the words, actions, and tacit approvals and disapprovals of the Prophet. The Sunnah is the second most important source of authority and legislation in Islam after the Qur’an. Scholars of Islamic jurisprudence base the foundation of the shari‘ah on four primary sources: the Qur’an, the Sunnah, the consensus of the scholars (ijma‘), and analogical reasoning based on established precedents (qiyas).

61. While this matter is actually a legal one usually dealt with in the books of jurisprudence and not in a theological treatise, it nonetheless covers well certain theological points; hence, the author placed it in his creed. One is the necessity of belief in multiply-transmitted hadith, which have the status of the Qur’an in their legal and creidal consideration. In this case, though the Qur’an commands the washing of feet for ritual ablutions, the hadith permits wiping in lieu of washing as a legally valid license. To reject a multiply-transmitted hadith is akin to rejecting a verse in the Qur’an.
and hence is a type of disbelief threatening one's faith. Abū al-Hasan al-Karkhī al-Hanafi (d. 340/951) said, "I fear a state of disbelief for the one who rejects wiping over the foot-coverings" (Akmal al-Dīn Muhammad b. Muhammad al-Bābarī, Sharḥ 'aqā'id al-sha'bī wa al-jami'ah [Kuwait: Wizarat al-Awqāf, 1989], 123).

62. Munkar and Nakir are the two angels who interrogate the entombed immediately after the deceased can no longer hear the last pater of withdrawing footsteps of the funeral procession. These angels make the entombed sit, and then they ask, "Who is your Lord, what is your religion, and what do you say about the messenger sent to you?" The ability of the one questioned to respond correctly depends on how strong his or her faith was while alive. Abū Dāwūd relates that the Prophet ﷺ instructed his companions to seek refuge from the punishment in the grave, which is based on how the entombed answers the interrogation of Munkar and Nakir.

63. The Arabic word for "acquisition" (kāb) refers to one's livelihood or earnings. Linguistically, it signifies "to earn or acquire." As a technical term in Islamic theology, it refers to the Sunni doctrine that ostensibly resolves the vexing and perennial human dilemma of free will versus predestination. While the doctrine of acquisition has its roots in the Qur'an, it is considered to be an original Muslim contribution to a problem that is shared by the three Abrahamic faiths. ‘Ali b. Muhammad al-Jurjānī (d. 816/1413) defines the word linguistically as "that which leads to accruing benefit or warding off harm." He further explains, "Moreover, God's actions cannot be described with it because God, the Sublime and Exalted, is transcendent beyond accruing benefit or avoiding harm" (Kitāb al-ta'rīf, 2nd ed. [Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1992], 236). According to Abū Bakr Muhammad b. Ḥasan b. Fārāk (d. 406/1015), as a theological term, it refers to "the state and decree whereby the human actor among us exercises the relation of his created power to that which has been decreed." Ibn Fārāk mentions that according to Abū al-Ḥasan al-Asbā’ī, acquisition referred to "what had occurred through created capacity" (annahu ma waqqa’u bi qudratīn muhdathah), and that al-Asbā’ī would never say more about it than that and did not prefer any other expression to that one. Ibn Fārāk also quotes al-Shīrāzī (d. 476/1083), who said, "It is whatever created capacity is related to, and this meaning is sound because any event not related to created capacity cannot be called 'acquisition' (kāb)" (Kitāb al-hudūd fi al-qā'id, 85). In Sharḥ al-‘aqā'id al-Nasafiyyah, a commentary on al-Nasafi's creed (d. 710/1310), al-Taftazānī (d. 792/1390) explains, "[According to al-Nasafi] 'God, the Sublime and Exalted, is the creator of all the actions of His creatures, including rejection of God, the Sublime and Exalted, or acceptance of Him, obedience to Him or disobedience.' However, this understanding differs from [the belief of] the Rationalists (al-mu'tazilah) who claim that men are the 'creators' of their actions.... The people of truth opposed them for a number of reasons: the first is that if the creature were indeed the creator of his actions, he would surely know all of their particular details, since it follows that power and freedom necessitate such knowledge. The ensuing inevitabilities of such a proposition are patently false. For example, even to walk from one place to another may comprise a series of interspersed steps along with the movements that oscillate between faster ones and slower ones. Meanwhile, the one walking is totally oblivious to those subtleties. This is not a result of his merely being distracted from such things. Even if he were asked about them, he would not know. This is apparent in the most obvious of actions [such as walking]; if, on the other hand, he were asked about the internal movements of his limbs and organs in walking, talking, striking, and what not, and what he needed in terms of the micro-movements of his muscles and the elasticity of his cartilage and nerve impulses, it would be even more apparent [that he knows nothing of the particulars of his actions]. The second objection is due to the clear revealed texts that exist, such as God's words, God created you and what you do (Qur'an 37:96). ... There is also the Qur'ānic verse, God is the Creator of every thing (13:17). Rationally, this refers to every contingent thing, and man's actions certainly constitute a contingent thing. In addition, the Qur'ānic verse, is the One who creates like the one who does not (16:17), is used to praise the station of creative capacity and relate it to the worthiness of receiving servitude [from those who cannot create]" (Sharḥ al-‘aqā'id al-Nasafiyyah [Cairo: Maktabat al-Kulliyāt al-Azhariyyah, 1987], 54-55).

64. Those promised Paradise far exceed the ten companions of the Prophet ﷺ mentioned here, and include many women as well, but
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these ten are mentioned in an infallible hadith. In the science of creed (‘aqidah), the dominant opinion is founded upon infallible hadith.

65. The Antichrist (al-mashîkh al-dajîl) is “the False Messiah, the Imposter Christ.” He is a world leader who emerges in the latter days and is a great personal opponent of Christ’s teachings of abstinence, other-worldliness, and spirituality. The Antichrist is essentially a false prophet who will spread evil throughout the world before he is killed by Christ _bio_ just outside of Jerusalem. One of the major signs of the Last Day is the emergence of the Antichrist. He will preach salvation through material exploitation, for which reason he was named thus, as the teachings of Jesus Christ _bio_ are altogether spiritual. According to the Prophet Muhammad _bio_, there will be at least thirty such false prophets, culminating in a final man who leads a large segment of the world astray. The Prophet _bio_ described him as “the worst tribulation to be expected” (sharî‘at fīlma ‘umara). His advent is shortly before the second coming of Christ _bio_. According to a hadith, many of the Antichrist’s followers will say, “We only follow him because he feeds us.” He is described as having mountains of wheat. Some hadith state that the word “disbeliever” is written on his forehead, which every believer will be able to recognize, whether literate or illiterate. He is also described as “leaping between the earth and clouds” and as having an arm that “reaches the bottom of the sea.” The Prophet _bio_ said, “The treasures of the earth will follow him like drones follow the queen bee.” The False Messiah will raise people from the dead, and he will enter into every city in the world in forty days. In a sound hadith narrated by Muslim (d. 261/875), when the Prophet _bio_ was asked how the Antichrist would travel so quickly, he replied, “Like a wind that leaves behind a stream of clouds.” The Antichrist will come at a time of great disequilibrium in the world, and will hoodwink many through his “miracles” and powers, but devout Muslims, whether literate or not, will be able to identify his lies and trickeries.

66. The “Beast of the Earth” (dabbat al-ard) is an unprecedented creation that emerges from the substance silica, according to a hadith recorded in al-Bukhârî’s Târîkh. This beast will speak to humanity in all languages, alerting all about their heedlessness of the signs of God, as mentioned in the Qur’an (27:82). The appearance of this beast is one of the major signs of the Last Day.

67. The Anthropomorphists (al-mushabbihâh or al-mujassimâh) comprise a sect among Muslims who ascribe physical human characteristics to God. This group arose in the formative period of Islamic theology, around the second century. Insisting that all references to God in the Qur’an are literal, the Anthropomorphists reject the rhetorical use of metaphor therein. They view certain verses as proof that God is located in space and has limbs. A strict traditional Sunni perspective views anyone who takes such verses literally as an Anthropomorphist. Another more generous view deems as Anthropomorphists only those who do not stipulate that God is “without modality” (bi l-taqâf). While al-Tahâwî is clearly opposed to this group, and certain sections in his creed address the group’s specific heresies, many Anthropomorphists have appropriated his creed as their own and interpreted his clear statements in novel ways to avoid the conclusions one must draw from a more standard reading of the text.

68. The Rationalists (al-mu’tazilât) are a sect that formed when Wâsîl b. ‘Azzî left the study circle of his teacher, al-Hasan al-Baṣri, and formed his own group. Rationalists are primarily a theological school (though they also had juristic points as well as a political philosophy). Though not a well-organized group of scholars, the Rationalists did introduce systematic theology to the Muslim community, which forced the Sunni scholars to produce refutations that invariably clarified their own positions within a Sunni framework of theology. The Rationalists presented the greatest doctrinal challenge to the early Muslim community; ironically, Rationalist thought migrated to Europe in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries and heavily influenced Catholic thought. Muslims abandoned Rationalism for a middle position between revealed truths that present themselves as supra-rational in many instances and natural theology that demands reasoned thought as a basis for belief and its defense. Some of the Rationalists’ beliefs which differ from the Sunnis’ are as follows: the Rationalists interpret the attributes of God figuratively; they say the Qur’an is created; they deny the Beatific Vision (see “the Beatific Vision,” note 42); they reject God’s volition concerning acts of “evil”; they believe God must punish the sinners and reward the righteous; they declare that a
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Muslim who has committed a grave sin and who has not yet repented is neither a believer nor a disbeliever but is in between—a reprobate or a malefactor (while the Sunnis say that such a person is a believer but in the Providence of God [mash'tat Allāh]: if God chooses, He pardons him, and if not, He punishes him); and they assert that it is the duty of every Muslim to ensure the good and prevent the unacceptable (without the conditions that Sunnis stipulate). A revial of Rationalist thought occurred in the late nineteenth century that still impacts modern Muslim discourse. It was started largely in Egypt by a group of Azhari scholars confronting the Enlightenment and Europe's encroaching power and influence on Muslim lands.

69. The Pantheists (al-jahmiyyah) are followers of Jāhān b. Șafwān (d. 128/745); known for their negation of divine attributes, Pantheists believe that “God is everything.” They also claim that Hell is not eternal and that human beings are forced to act, a doctrine they share with the Determinists (see next note).

70. The Determinists (al-jabriyyah) claim that human beings have no choice in their actions and thus cannot be held responsible for them. According to Șādiq al-Gihriyānī, for the Determinists, “the state of the human being is like that of a feather in the wind; they believe that a man is on parity with an inanimate creation, and that he has neither volition nor choice…” (Fi al-aqḍāh wa al-minhāj [Benghazi: Dār al-Kutub al-Wataniyyah, 2002], 67).

71. The Dualists (al-qadariyyah) are followers of Ma’bad al-Juhānī (d. 80/699). Known for their rejection of divine preordination of good and evil, Dualists believe that God has no volition concerning human action, once free will has been granted; that is, they believe that God creates human beings and then humans create their own actions. Dualists also believe that while good is from God, evil is not. The Prophet predicted their advent and called them the “Zoroastrians of Islam” due to their rejection that evil was also from God.
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Notes to the Arabic Text

This translation is based upon four published versions of the Arabic text, including the text that Imam al-Ghunaymi uses in his commentary. The notes below reflect the variations between the Arabic text in this book and the other four versions.

Within these notes, ب refers to the Dār al-Bashā'ir al-Islāmiyyah, Lebanon version; ح refers to the Aleppo, Syria version; غ refers to Imam al-Ghunaymi’s commentary; and ظ refers to the edition published by Dār Tūwayq, Saudi Arabia.

The differences in the text are minimal and do not change any of the meanings.

1. ح: قال الإمام العالم العلامة
   غ: سقط "حمد الله، رحمة الله"

2. ح: هذا مروع الإمام أبو جعفر الطحاوي في ذكره بيان اعتقاد أهل السنة
   غ: وأي عبد الله بن محمد الحسن الديني

3. ح: لله تعالى
   غ: لرب

4. ح: قال الإمام وقناة الإمام المذكوران رحمهما الله تعالى
   غ: ح: لله تعالى

5. ح: لله تعالى
   غ: لرب

6. ح: ولا شيء يشبه ولا شيء ينحصر

7. ح: لله تعالى
   غ: لله تعالى

8. ح: لله تعالى
   غ: لله تعالى

9. ح: لله تعالى
   غ: لله تعالى

10. ح: لله تعالى
   غ: لله تعالى